

## **Pederson, John**

---

**From:** Gunther, Skip  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 29, 2018 9:41 AM  
**To:** Jim Shurling  
**Cc:** gsams@slhb-law.com; Weatherford, Bob; Pederson, John  
**Subject:** RE: W.Reed Konigsmark Zoning Application

Hi Jim

Thank you for your thoughtful email regarding this z-21 rezoning application.

I understand with your concerns regarding fencing and density. I am forwarding your email to Garvis Sams, attorney for the applicant, so that he might work with you to address your concerns. I am also forwarding your email to Bob Weatherford, our elected District Commissioner, and John Pederson, head of the Cobb County Zoning department, so that they are aware of your concerns.

In the event you have not heard, the applicant for z-21 has requested a continuation to the May 1st Planning Commission hearing so as to further work his plan. As a result, I now expect this application to first be heard at the May 1st Planning Commission hearing.

Thanks,

Skip Gunther  
District 1 Planning Commissioner  
(678) 794-8851

---

**From:** Jim Shurling [jimshurling15@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 27, 2018 1:15 PM  
**To:** Gunther, Skip  
**Subject:** Re: W.Reed Konigsmark Zoning Application

Mr. Gunther,

My name is Jim Shurling and since 1961 my family has owned the land just west and downstream of this proposed development. My immediate concern regarding this development is about protecting the integrity and safety of our creek and two acre pond.

Since the Dallas Highway was widened 20 years ago, my lake has suffered silt buildup washed from the shoulders of the road. Any additional silt runoff may completely fill up the north acre of my pond. The pond already suffers every time a large volume of water comes down the creek after a heavy rain and stirs up the existing silt deposit on the bottom. An inch plus of rain makes it look like a large mud puddle. Please do all you can to help this situation from becoming worse.

I would like Cobb County to consider requiring a fence on the project. This would protect the property of the neighbors that abut the development and deter children and adults from assuming my pond is a part of their amenities. The safety of children is of great concern. We both know how attractive an open body of water can be to kids.

The consensus of the surrounding property owners is that it is desirable that the present density designation of R-30 remain in place.

Sincerely,  
Jim Shurling  
770-500-0224