

ADDI ICANT	La David Pagran Communities Inc	DETITION NO.	7.74			
	David Pearson Communities, Inc.					
PHONE #: (770) 321-5032 EMAIL:		HEARING DATE (PC):				
	TATIVE: J. Kevin Moore					
	70) 429-1499 EMAIL: jkm@mijs.com	PRESENT ZONING:	R-20			
TITLEHOLD	DER: Estate of Collene W. Ruggles					
		PROPOSED ZONING:	R-12			
	LOCATION: West side of Keheley Drive, across from					
Keheley Road						
(4351 and 437	1 Keheley Drive)		•			
ACCESS TO	PROPERTY: Keheley Drive	SIZE OF TRACT:	25.802 acres			
		DISTRICT:	16			
PHYSICAL (CHARACTERISTICS TO SITE: Single-family house	LAND LOT(S):	162,163			
on wooded acı	reage	D + D CET (C)				
		TAXES: PAID X DU				
		COMMISSION DISTRICT:				
CONTIGUO	US ZONING/DEVELOPMENT					
NORTH:	R-20/Single-family house					
SOUTH:		Adjacent Future Land Use: North: Low Density Residentia	al (LDR)			
EAST:	R-20/Single-family hosue: R-15/Lakewood	East: Low Density Residential	(LDR)			
	Colony, Koholov Flamentery, School	South: Low Density Residentia	,			
WEST:	R-15/Single-family houses; Lamplighter Ridge	West: Low Density Residentia	I (LDK)			
	WEST. K-15/Single-raining nouses, Lampinghter Kinge					
OPPOSITIO	N: NO. OPPOSEDPETITION NO:SPOKES	MAN				
	_					
PLANNING (COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION					
		BlackWillow D	R-20			
	SECONDED	R-15	R-20			
	CARRIEDBurier Rd	The state of the s				
BOARD OF	COMMISSIONERS DECISION	R-1!	5			
APPROVED	MOTION BY	(A) \$ 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	_			
REJECTED_	SECONDEDR:15					
	CARRIEDSITE	Ketheley Rd - G	<u></u>			
	SITE	NAT AND				
STIPULATIO	ONS:	R-15				
		198	/R-20			
		Hall Malon V	111			

APPLICANT: David Pearson Communities, Inc.	PETITION NO.: _	<u>Z-74</u>
PRESENT ZONING: R-20	PETITION FOR:	R-12
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*****
ZONING COMMENTS: Staff Member Responsible	e: Jason A. Campbell	
Land Use Plan Recommendation: Low Density Residenti	al (1-2.5 units per acre)	
Proposed Number of Units: 51 Overall	Density: 3.0 Units/A	Acre
Staff estimate for allowable # of units: 29 Units* 1 *Estimate could be higher or lower based on engineered plans taking into natural features such as creeks, wetlands, etc., and other unforeseen circums	account topography, shape of pr	ts/Lots roperty, utilities, roadways

The applicant is requesting the R-12 zoning district for the purpose of developing a 51-lot single-family subdivision. The proposed houses will range in size from 2,500 square feet to 3,100 square feet. The architecture will be Craftsman style with modern upgrades.

The proposed plan will require the following contemporaneous variances:

- 1. Increase maximum acreage for R-12 from 20 acres to 25.802 acres;
- 2. Allowing 10 feet between residences instead of the code required 15 feet;
- 3. Allow impervious surface of 43% per lot in lieu of maximum 35% (achieved by averaging overall lots, not lot by lot); and
- 4. Waive the road frontage for Lots 34 and 35.

Cemetery Preservation: No comment.

APPLICANT:	David Pearson Communities, Inc.	PETITION NO.:	Z-74
PRESENT ZO	NING: R-20	PETITION FOR:	R-12
		ate	ale ale ale ale ale ale ale ale

SCHOOL COMMENTS:

			Number of
		Capacity	Portable
Name of School	Enrollment	Status	Classrooms
Keheley	512	555	
Elementary McCleskey	683	843	
Middle Kell	1559	1912	

High

• School attendance zones are subject to revision at any time.

Additional Comments: Approval of this petition will not have an impact on the enrollment at these schools.

APPLICANT: David Pearson Communities	PETITION NO.: Z-/4
PRESENT ZONING: R-20	PETITION FOR: R-12
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	******
FIRE COMMENTS:	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Modifications will be required to incorporate the Cobb County Fire Marshal's Office comments.

GUEST PARKING: We recommend the guest parking be distributed in a manner to provide a guest parking space within 200-ft walking distance to each unit and each driveway be at least 2 vehicles wide and 20 feet deep as measured from the back of the sidewalk or curb, whichever is applicable.

APPLICANT: David Pearson Communities, Inc.	PETITION NO.: Z-74
PRESENT ZONING: R-20 ************************************	PETITION FOR: R-12
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
PLANNING COMMENTS:	
The applicant is requesting a rezoning from R-20 to R-12 for subdivision. The 25.802 acre site is located on the west side of (4351 and 4371 Keheley Drive).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
HB-489 Intergovernmental Agreement Zoning Amendment Notes Is the application site within one half (1/2) mile of a city bour If yes, has the city of been notified?	
Comprehensive Plan The parcel is within the Low Density Residential (LDR) futur designation. The purpose of the Low Density Residential (LI suitable for low density housing between 1 to 2.5 dwelling un densities.	OR) category is to provide for areas that are
Specific Area Policy Guidelines: There are no specific policy guidelines for this area in the Cor	mprehensive Plan.
Adjacent Future Land Use: North: Low Density Residential (LDR) East: Low Density Residential (LDR) South: Low Density Residential (LDR) West: Low Density Residential (LDR)	
Master Plan/Corridor Study The property is not located within the boundary of a Plan or Corridor Study	Corridor Study.
Historic Preservation After consulting various county historic resources surveys, hi trench location maps, staff finds that no known significant application. No further comment. No action by applicant requirements	historic resources appear to be affected by this
<u>Design Guidelines</u> Is the parcel in an area with Design Guidelines? ☐ Yes If yes, design guidelines area Does the current site plan comply with the design requiremen	■ No ts?
<i>Incentive Zones</i> Is the property within an Opportunity Zone? ☐ Yes The Opportunity Zone is an incentive that provides \$3,500 tag jobs are being created. This incentive is available for new or e	- · ·
Is the property within an Enterprise Zone? ☐ Yes The Enterprise Zone is an incentive that provid incentives for qualifying businesses locating or expanding within investments.	

APPLICANT: David Pearson Communities, Inc.	PETITION NO.: Z-74
DDECENT ZONING. D 20	DETITION FOD. D 12
**************************************	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
PLANNING COMMENTS:	CONT.
Is the property eligible for incentives through the Commerc Program? ☐ Yes ■ No	ial and Industrial Property Rehabilitation
The Commercial and Industrial Property Rehabilitation Pro ad valorem property taxes for qualifying redevelopment in o	-
For more information on incentives, please call the Commu 770.528.2018 or find information online at	

PRESENT ZONING <u>R-20</u> ****************	* * * *	*****	* * *		FITION FOR <u>R-12</u> ********
WATER COMMENTS: NOTE: Comments re	eflect on	lv what facilitie	s were	in exis	stence at the time of this review.
Available at Development:		Yes			No
Fire Flow Test Required:	✓)	Yes			No
Size / Location of Existing Water Main(s): 6"	DI / W	side of Kehel	ey Dri	ve	
Additional Comments:					
Developer may be required to install/upgrade water mains, based Review Process. * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			·		
SEWER COMMENTS: NOTE: Comment	s reflect	only what facili	ities we	re in e	xistence at the time of this review.
In Drainage Basin:	✓	Yes			No
At Development:	✓	Yes			No
Approximate Distance to Nearest Sewer: O	n site				
Estimated Waste Generation (in G.P.D.):	ADF=	8,160		P	eak= 20,400
Treatment Plant:		Noo	nday		
Plant Capacity:	✓	Available		Not a	Available
Line Capacity:	✓	Available		Not a	Available
Proiected Plant Availability:	✓	0 - 5 years		5 - 10	0 years
Dry Sewers Required:		Yes	✓	No	
Off-site Easements Required:		Yes*	✓	No	*If off-site easements are required, Developed
Flow Test Required:		Yes	✓	No	must submit easements to CCWS for review/approval as to form and stipulations prior to the execution of easements by the
Letter of Allocation issued:		Yes	✓	No	property owners. All easement acquisitions are the responsibility of the Developer
Septic Tank Recommended by this Department	nt:	Yes	~	No	•
Subject to Health Department Approval:		Yes	✓	No	
Additional					

PETITION NO. Z-074

APPLICANT

Comments:

David Pearson Communities, Inc.

Developer will be responsible for connecting to the existing County water and sewer systems, installing and/or upgrading all outfalls and water mains, obtaining on and/or offsite easements, dedication of on and/or offsite water and sewer to Cobb County, as may be required. Rezoning does not guarantee water/sewer availability/capacity unless so stated in writing by the Cobb County Water System. Permit issuances subject to continued treatment plant compliance with EPD discharge requirements.

APPLICANT: <u>David Pearson Communities, Inc.</u>	PETITION NO.: <u>Z-74</u>
PRESENT ZONING: <u>R-20</u>	PETITION FOR: $\underline{R-12}$
************	************
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMMENTS	S
FLOOD HAZARD: YES NO POSSIBLY	, NOT VERIFIED
DRAINAGE BASIN: Rubes Creek & Trip to Rubes Comparison FEMA Designated 100 year Floodplain Flood. ☐ Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance DESIGNATED In Project subject to the Cobb County Flood Damage Prevention Dam Breach zone from (upstream) (onsite) lake - need	FLOOD HAZARD. vention Ordinance Requirements.
<u>WETLANDS:</u> ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ POSSIBLY, NO	T VERIFIED
Location: within and adjacent to stream channels	
☐ The Owner/Developer is responsible for obtaining any Corps of Engineer.	y required wetland permits from the U.S. Army
STREAMBANK BUFFER ZONE: X YES NO [POSSIBLY, NOT VERIFIED
 Metropolitan River Protection Area (within 2000' of Chabuffer each side of waterway). Chattahoochee River Corridor Tributary Area - County Georgia Erosion-Sediment Control Law and County Of Georgia DNR Variance may be required to work in 25 County Buffer Ordinance: 50', 75', 100' or 200' each state of the control of the country of the country of the country Buffer Ordinance: 50', 75', 100' or 200' each state of the country Buffer Ordinance: 50', 75', 100' or 200' 	review (<u>undisturbed</u> buffer each side). rdinance - County Review/State Review. foot streambank buffers.
DOWNSTREAM CONDITIONS	
 ☑ Potential or Known drainage problems exist for develoged Stormwater discharges must be controlled not to exceed drainage system. ☑ Minimize runoff into public roads. ☑ Minimize the effect of concentrated stormwater dischart ☑ Developer must secure any R.O.W required to recent naturally 	d the capacity available in the downstream storm rges onto adjacent properties.
 □ Existing Lake Downstream □ Additional BMP's for erosion sediment controls will be □ Lake Study needed to document sediment levels. □ Stormwater discharges through an established residenti □ Project engineer must evaluate the impact of increase project on receiving stream. 	ial neighborhoods downstream.

APPLICANT: <u>David Pearson Communities, Inc.</u>	PETITION NO.: <u>Z-74</u>
PRESENT ZONING: R-20	PETITION FOR: $\underline{R-12}$
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*******
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMMENTS –	Continued
SPECIAL SITE CONDITIONS	
☐ Provide comprehensive hydrology/stormwater controls to inc ☐ Submit all proposed site improvements to Plan Review.	clude development of out parcels.
Any spring activity uncovered must be addressed by a qualidadic Structural fill must be placed under the direction of a engineer (PE).	
Existing facility.Project must comply with the Water Quality requirements of Water Quality Ordinance.	the CWA-NPDES-NPS Permit and County
Water Quality/Quantity contributions of the existing lake/p conditions into proposed project.	ond on site must be continued as baseline
☐ Calculate and provide % impervious of project site. ☐ Revisit design; reduce pavement area to reduce runoff and po	ollution.
INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION	
 ☐ No Stormwater controls shown ☐ Copy of survey is not current – Additional comments may be are exposed. 	e forthcoming when current site conditions
No site improvements showing on exhibit.	

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

- 1. This parcel is located to the west of Keheley Drive at the western terminus of Keheley Road. The site is encumbered by regulatory floodplain and stream buffer associated with Rubes Creek and Tributary to Rubes Creek. The parcel is mostly wooded with several small pasture/open areas. Slopes range widely from 6 to 40%.
- 2. The proposed site plan has at least 12 lots that do not meet the minimum code required area above the floodplain. This will impact the site layout and likely the number of allowable lots.
- 3. Stormwater management is proposed to be underground within the common area. Since the development will be a private, gated subdivision all stormwater management infrastructure must be privately maintained by the mandatory homeowners association.

APPLICANT: David Pearson Communities, Inc.	PETITION NO.: Z-74
PRESENT ZONING: R-20	PETITION FOR: R-12
**********	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

TRANSPORTATION COMMENTS:

ROADWAY	ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION	SPEED LIMIT JURISDICTIONAL CONTROL		MIN. R.O.W. REQUIREMENTS
Keheley Drive	Local	25 mph	Cobb County	50'

ROADWAY	LOCATION	AVERAGE DAILY TRIPS	LEVEL OF SERVICE
Keheley Drive	N/A	N/A	N/A

COMMENTS AND OBSERVATIONS

Keheley Drive is classified as a local and according to the available information the existing right-of-way does meet the minimum requirements for this classification.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommend applicant be required to meet all Cobb County Development Standards and Ordinances related to project improvements.

Recommend entrance on Keheley Drive directly align with Keheley Road as a four-way stop; or recommend a left turn lane and deceleration lane at the entrance, location and design to be determined during plan review, subject to Cobb County DOT approval.

Recommend curb, gutter, and sidewalk along the Keheley Drive frontage.

Recommend private streets be constructed to the Cobb County Standard Specifications.

Recommend a no access easement for the lots along the Keheley Drive frontage.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

Z-74 DAVID PEARSON COMMUNITIES, INC.

- A. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will not permit a use that is suitable in view of the use and development of adjacent and nearby properties. Most of the surrounding subdivisions are developed as R-20 and R-15.
- B. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will have an adverse effect on the usability of adjacent or nearby property. The applicant proposes the R-12 zoning category with 51 lots at 3.0 units per acre. Other properties in the area are zoned R-20 and R-15 with densities in the Low Density Range and include: Lamplighter Ridge (zoned R-15 at 1.5 units per acre); North Landing Unit Three (zoned R-20 at 1.66 units per acre); Lakewood Colony (zoned R-15 at approximately 1.88 units per acre); and Country Meadows Unit 2 (zoned R-15 at 2.17 units per acre). Approval of this application will adversely affect the low density character of the area.
- C. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will result in a use which would cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools. This opinion is supported by the departmental comments contained in this analysis.
- D. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal is not in conformity with the policy and intent of the *Cobb County Comprehensive Plan*, which delineates this property as being within the Low Density Residential (LDR) future land use category. The LDR category has a density range of 1-2.5 units per acre. The applicant's proposed R-12 development indicates a density of 3.0 units per acre. While R-12 can be allowed in the LDR and Medium Density Residential (MDR/2.5-5 units per acre) land use category, it should be designed and built to ensure intensity and density compatibility with adjacent single-family detached dwellings.
- E. It is Staff's opinion that there are existing and changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property which give supporting grounds for denying the applicant's rezoning proposal. The proposed density of 3.0 units per acre exceeds to LDR future land use designation range of 1-2.5 units per acre. Surrounding subdivisions are zoned R-15 on larger lot with lower densities.

Based on the above analysis, Staff recommends **DENIAL**.

The recommendations made by the Planning and Zoning Staff are only the opinions of the Planning and Zoning Staff and are by no means the final decision. The Cobb County Board of Commissioners makes the final decisions on all Rezoning and Land Use Permits at an advertised public hearing.

Application No. Z-74 (2017)

Hearing Dates:

PC: BOC:

11/07/2017 11/21/2017

Summary of Intent for Rezoning

1. Resi				
	idential Rezon	ing Information (attach a	dditional information if needed)	SEP - 7 2017
a)	Proposed	unit square-footage(s): _	2,500 - 3,100 square feet	2017
b)	Proposed	building architecture:	Craftsman style with modern เ	up grades) co. comm. dev. agen zoning division
c)	List all re	equested variances:	10 foot distance between resid	dences
_				
2. Non	-residential R	ezoning Information (atta	ch additional information if needed)	
a)	Proposed	use(s):	Not Applicable	
b)	Proposed	building architecture:	Not Applicable	
<u>c)</u>	Proposed	hours/days of operation:	Not Applicable	
<u>d</u>)	List all re	equested variances:	Not Applicable	
art 3. O	ther Pertinent	Information (List or atta	nch additional information if needed)	
art 3. O	ther Pertinent	Information (List or atta	nch additional information if needed)	
 rt 4. Is:	any of the pro	perty included on the proj		State, or Federal Government

^{*}Applicant specifically reserves the right to amend any information set forth in this Summary of Intent for Rezoning, or any other portion of the Application for Rezoning, at any time during the rezoning process.