

APPLICANT: Adventur Living LLC	PETITION NO:	Z-35
PHONE#: 770-652-0044 EMAIL: Berniesmith@kw.com	HEARING DATE (PC):	05-03-16
REPRESENTATIVE: Bernie Smith	HEARING DATE (BOC):	05-17-16
PHONE#: 770-652-0044 EMAIL: Berniesmith@kw.com	PRESENT ZONING:	R-20, R-80
TITLEHOLDER: T.M. Denome, William Harry Nelson and Glenna S.		
Nelson; and Harold R. Burtz	PROPOSED ZONING:	RSL
PROPERTY LOCATION: East side of Ebenezer Road, north of		
Blackwell Road, and the western terminus of Cedar Ridge Road	PROPOSED USE:	Senior Living
(3750, 3860 and 3862 Ebenezer Road)		
ACCESS TO PROPERTY: _ Ebenezer Road	SIZE OF TRACT:	15.58 acres
	DISTRICT:	16
PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS TO SITE: <u>Single-family houses</u>	LAND LOT(S):	304, <i>345</i>
	PARCEL(S):	9,45,2
	TAXES: PAID X D	
CONTIGUOUS ZONING/DEVELOPMENT	COMMISSION DISTRICT	<b>:</b> <u>3</u>

NORTH:	R-20/Single-family house and Princeton Manor	Adjace Northe
SOUTH:	R-20/Wooded lot	Southe
EAST:	R-20/North Ridge	Southw
WEST:	R-20/Single-family houses	Northw

Adjacent Future Land Use:

Northeast: Low Density Residential (LDR) Southeast: Low Density Residential (LDR) Southwest: Low Density Residential (LDR) Northwest: Low Density Residential (LDR)

OPPOSITION: NO. OPPOSED\_\_\_\_PETITION NO:\_\_\_\_SPOKESMAN \_\_\_\_\_

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION

APPROVED\_\_\_\_MOTION BY\_\_\_\_\_

REJECTED\_\_\_\_SECONDED\_\_\_\_\_

HELD\_\_\_\_CARRIED\_\_\_\_


#### **BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS DECISION**

 APPROVED\_\_\_\_\_MOTION BY\_\_\_\_\_


 REJECTED\_\_\_\_SECONDED\_\_\_\_\_

 HELD\_\_\_\_CARRIED\_\_\_\_\_

**STIPULATIONS:** 







APPLICANT: Adventur Living, LLC	PETITION NO.: Z-35
PRESENT ZONING: R-20, R-80	PETITION FOR: RSL
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
ZONING COMMENTS: Staff Member Responsibl	e: Jason A. Campbell
Land Use Plan Recommendation: Low Density Reside	ntial (1-2.5 units per acre)
Proposed Number of Units: 76 Overall	Density: <u>4.87</u> Units/Acre
Staff estimate for allowable # of units: <u>27</u> Units* 1	Increase of:49 Units/Lots

\*Estimate could be higher or lower based on engineered plans taking into account topography, shape of property, utilities, roadways, natural features such as creeks, wetlands, etc., and other unforeseen circumstances.

Applicant is requesting the Residential Senior Living (RSL) zoning district for the purpose of a 76-unit nonsupportive senior living development. The units will be detached and arranged in quads. The units will be traditional one and a half stories, and will range in size from 1,750 square feet and up. Prices will range in from \$300,000 to \$450,000. The proposed development includes private streets, clubhouse and pool. The site plan indicates the required 20-foot landscape buffer abutting more restrictive residentially zoned properties.

Cemetery Preservation: No comments.

Site Plan Review: No comment.

APPLICANT: Adventur Living LLC

PRESENT ZONING: <u>R-20, R-80</u>

PETITION NO.: Z-35 PETITION FOR: RSL

# FIRE COMMENTS:

NO COMMENTS: After analyzing the information presented for a Preliminary Review, the Cobb County Fire Marshal's Office is confident that all other items can be addressed during the Plan Review Stage.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

**APPLICANT:** Adventur Living, LLC

PRESENT ZONING: R-20, R-80

**PETITION NO.:** Z-35

PETITION FOR: RSL

# **SCHOOL COMMENTS:**

			Number of
		Capacity	Portable
Name of School	Enrollment	Status	Classrooms
Elementary			
Middle			

## High

• School attendance zones are subject to revision at any time.

#### **Additional Comments:**

#### 

# **PLANNINGCOMMENTS:**

The applicant is requesting a rezoning from R-20 and r-80 to RSL for the purpose of senior living. The .15.58 acre site is located on the east side of Ebenezer Road, north of Blackwell Road, and the western terminus of Cedar Ridge Road.

## HB-489 Intergovernmental Agreement Zoning Amendment Notification:

Is the application site within	one half (1/2) mile of a city boundary?	□ Yes	■ No
If yes, has the city of	_been notified?	□ Yes	■ No / N/A

## Comprehensive Plan

The parcel is within a Low Density Residential (LDR) future land use category, with R-20 and R-80 zoning designation. The purpose of the Low Density Residential (LDR) category is to provide for areas that are suitable for low density housing between one (1) and two and one-half (2.5) dwelling units per acre, and non supportive senior living housing that in certain circumstances may reach five (5) dwelling units per acre, depending on existing conditions such as product type and mix, structure/building height, tract size, topographic conditions, etc in order to provide compatibility with adjacent residential uses.

## Specific Area Policy Guidelines:

There are no specific policy guidelines for this area in the Comprehensive Plan.

**PETITION NO.:**Z-35**PETITION FOR:**RSL

PRESENT ZONING: R-20, R-80

## **PLANNING COMMENTS: Continued**

#### Adjacent Future Land Use:

- Northeast: Low Density Residential (LDR)
- Southeast: Low Density Residential (LDR)
- Southwest: Low Density Residential (LDR)
- Northwest: Low Density Residential (LDR)

# Master Plan/Corridor Study

The property is not located within the boundary of a Plan or Corridor Study

## Historic Preservation

After consulting various county historic resources surveys, historic maps, archaeology surveys and Civil War trench location maps, staff finds that no known significant historic resources appear to be affected by this application. No further comment. No action by applicant requested at this time.

## Design Guidelines

Is the parcel in an area with Design Guidelines? If yes, design guidelines area Does the current site plan comply with the design re		■ No
boes the current site plan comply with the design is	equirements:	
Incentive Zones		
Is the property within an Opportunity Zone?	□ Yes	■ No
The Opportunity Zone is an incentive that provides	\$3,500 tax cre	dit per job in eligible areas if two or more
jobs are being created. This incentive is available for	or new or exist	ing businesses.
Is the property within an Enterprise Zone?	□ Yes	■ No
The Enterprise Zone is an incentive t	hat provides ta	x abatements and other economic
incentives for qualifying businesses locating or exp	anding within	designated areas for new jobs and capital
investments.		
Is the property eligible for incentives through the C	ommercial and	I Industrial Property Rehabilitation

Program? □ Yes ■ No

PRESENT ZONING: R-20, R-80

# PLANNING COMMENTS: Continued

The Commercial and Industrial Property Rehabilitation Program is an incentive that provides a reduction in ad valorem property taxes for qualifying redevelopment in eligible areas.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

For more information on incentives, please call the Community Development Agency, Planning Division at 770.528.2018 or find information online at http://economic.cobbcountyga.gov. Special Districts Is this property within the Cumberland Special District #1 (hotel/motel fee)?  $\Box$  Yes No Is this property within the Cumberland Special District #2 (ad valorem tax)?  $\Box$  Yes ■ No Is this property within the Six Flags Special Service District?  $\Box$  Yes No Is the property within the Dobbins Airfield Safety Zone?  $\Box$  Yes No If so, which particular safety zone is this property within?  $\Box$  CZ (Clear Zone) □ APZ I (Accident Potential Zone I) □ APZ II (Accident Potential Zone II) Bird / Wildlife Air Strike Hazard (BASH) area

APPLICANT Adventur Living, LLC				PE	FITION NO.	<u>Z-035</u>
PRESENT ZONING <u>R-20, R-80</u>				PE	<b>FITION FOR</b>	<u>RSL</u>
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * *	* * * * * * * * *	* * *	* * *	* * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * *
WATER COMMENTS: NOTE: Comments re	flect o	only what facilities	were	in exi	stence at the time	of this review.
Available at Development:	✓	Yes			No	
Fire Flow Test Required:	✓	Yes			No	
Size / Location of Existing Water Main(s): 6"	AC /	E side of Ebenez	er Ro	ad		
Additional Comments: Secondary water feed w	vill be	e required at Plan	n Revi	iew		
Developer may be required to install/upgrade water mains, based of Review Process.	on fire f	low test results or Fire l	Departn	nent Co	de. This will be resol	ved in the Plan
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * *	* * * * * * * *	* * *	* * :	* * * * * * * *	* * * * * * *
SEWER COMMENTS: NOTE: Comments	refle	ct only what facilit	ies we	re in e	existence at the tir	ne of this review.
In Drainage Basin:	$\checkmark$	Yes			No	
At Development:	$\checkmark$	Yes			No	
Approximate Distance to Nearest Sewer: 80	'E in	Cedar Ridge Rd	ROV	V		
Estimated Waste Generation (in G.P.D.): A	D F=	= 12,160		Р	Peak= 30,400	
Treatment Plant:		Noon	ıday			
Plant Capacity:	$\checkmark$	Available		Not	Available	
Line Capacity:	$\checkmark$	Available		Not	Available	
Projected Plant Availability:	$\checkmark$	0 - 5 years		5 - 1	0 vears $\Box$ o	over 10 years
Drv Sewers Required:		Yes	✓	No		
Off-site Easements Required:		Yes*	$\checkmark$	No	*If off-site easement must submit easement	ats are required, Developer
Flow Test Required:	$\checkmark$	Yes		No	review/approval as	to form and stipulations on of easements by the
Letter of Allocation issued:		Yes	$\checkmark$	No		Il easement acquisitions
Septic Tank Recommended by this Department	t: 🗌	Yes	$\checkmark$	No		
Subject to Health Department Approval:		Yes	$\checkmark$	No		

Additional Sewer flow study may be required at Plan Review. On-site easement(s) will be required to accommodate public water and sewer.

Developer will be responsible for connecting to the existing County water and sewer systems, installing and/or upgrading all outfalls and water mains, obtaining on and/or offsite easements, dedication of on and/or offsite water and sewer to Cobb County, as may be required. Rezoning does not guarantee water/sewer availability/capacity unless so stated in writing by the Cobb County Water System. Permit issuances subject to continued treatment plant compliance with EPD discharge requirements.

# APPLICANT: Adventur Living, LLC

PETITION NO.: Z-35

# PRESENT ZONING: R-20, R-80

# PETITION FOR: <u>RSL</u>

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMMENTS
FLOOD HAZARD: YES NO POSSIBLY, NOT VERIFIED
<ul> <li>DRAINAGE BASIN: <u>Rubes Creek</u> FLOOD HAZARD INFO: Zone X</li> <li>FEMA Designated 100 year Floodplain Flood.</li> <li>Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance DESIGNATED FLOOD HAZARD.</li> <li>Project subject to the Cobb County Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance Requirements.</li> <li>Dam Breach zone from (upstream) (onsite) lake - need to keep residential buildings out of hazard.</li> </ul>
WETLANDS: YES NO POSSIBLY, NOT VERIFIED
Location:
The Owner/Developer is responsible for obtaining any required wetland permits from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineer.
STREAMBANK BUFFER ZONE: XES NO POSSIBLY, NOT VERIFIED
<ul> <li>Metropolitan River Protection Area (within 2000' of Chattahoochee River) ARC (review 35' undisturbed buffer each side of waterway).</li> <li>Chattahoochee River Corridor Tributary Area - County review (<u>undisturbed</u> buffer each side).</li> <li>Georgia Erosion-Sediment Control Law and County Ordinance - County Review/State Review.</li> <li>Georgia DNR Variance may be required to work in 25 foot streambank buffers.</li> <li>County Buffer Ordinance: 50', 75', 100' or 200' each side of creek channel.</li> </ul>
DOWNSTREAM CONDITIONS
<ul> <li>Potential or Known drainage problems exist for developments downstream from this site.</li> <li>Stormwater discharges must be controlled not to exceed the capacity available in the downstream storm drainage system.</li> <li>Minimize runoff into public roads.</li> <li>Minimize the effect of concentrated stormwater discharges onto adjacent properties.</li> <li>Developer must secure any R.O.W required to receive concentrated discharges where none exist naturally</li> <li>Existing Lake Downstream Additional BMP's for erosion sediment controls will be required.</li> <li>Lake Study needed to document sediment levels.</li> <li>Stormwater discharges through an established residential neighborhood downstream.</li> <li>Project engineer must evaluate the impact of increased volume of runoff generated by the proposed project on downstream receiving system including the existing culvert at Cedar Ridge Road.</li> </ul>

# APPLICANT: <u>Adventur Living, LLC</u>

# PETITION NO.: <u>Z-35</u>

## PRESENT ZONING: <u>R-20, R-80</u>

## PETITION FOR: <u>RSL</u>

# **STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMMENTS – Continued**

#### SPECIAL SITE CONDITIONS

- Provide comprehensive hydrology/stormwater controls to include development of out parcels.
- Submit all proposed site improvements to Plan Review.
- Any **spring activity** uncovered must be addressed by a qualified geotechnical engineer (PE).
- Structural fill \_\_\_\_\_ must be placed under the direction of a qualified registered Georgia geotechnical engineer (PE).
- Existing facility.
- Project must comply with the Water Quality requirements of the CWA-NPDES-NPS Permit and County Water Quality Ordinance.
- Water Quality/Quantity contributions of the existing lake/pond on site must be continued as baseline conditions into proposed project.
- Calculate and provide % impervious of project site.
- Revisit design; reduce pavement area to reduce runoff and pollution.

## **INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION**

No Stormwater controls shown

Copy of survey is not current – Additional comments may be forthcoming when current site conditions are exposed.

No site improvements showing on exhibit.

# ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

- 1. This site is located to the west of Ebenezer Road between its intersections with Blackwell and May Breeze Roads. It abuts Princeton Manor Subdivision to the north and North Ridge (aka Heritage Farms) Subdivision to the east and south. The site is primarily pasture with small wooded areas along the fence rows and the northern and southern boundaries. Average slopes on the site range from 5 to 15%. The majority of the site drains to the east into and through the North Ridge Subdivision via an existing channel platted as a drainage easement. A very small portion of the northwest corner of the site (1.3 ac) drains across Ebenezer Road to the Phillips Farm property to the west.
- 2. Development of this project will result in an increase in impervious coverage, storm runoff and non-point source pollution compared to build-out under the current single-family zoning categories. To mitigate, the First Flush Water Quality Best Management Practice Requirements must be elevated to the 1.5-inch rainfall event and each larger storm discharge controlled not to exceed the allowable discharge of the next lower, more frequent storm event (ie. 5-year storm event released at 2-year rate; 10-year event at 5-year rate; etc. to 100-year event at 50-year rate).
- 3. Pervious pavers may be required for selected areas to meet the 55% impervious coverage limit.

#### **APPLICANT: Adventur Living LLC**

**PETITION NO.: Z-35** 

# PRESENT ZONING: R-20, R-80

**PETITION FOR: RSL** 

# **TRANSPORTATION COMMENTS:**

The following comments and recommendations are based on field investigation and office review of the subject rezoning case:

ROADWAY	AVERAGE DAILY TRIPS	ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION	SPEED LIMIT	JURISDICTIONAL CONTROL	MIN. R.O.W. REQUIREMENTS
Ebenezer Road	4200	Major Collector	35 mph	Cobb County	80'

Based on 2008 traffic counting data taken by Cobb County DOT for Ebenezer Road.

#### **COMMENTS AND OBSERVATIONS**

Ebenezer Road is classified as a major collector and according to the available information the existing rightof-way does not meet the minimum requirements for this classification.

# RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommend applicant be required to meet all Cobb County Development Standards and Ordinances related to project improvements.

Recommend applicant consider entering into a development agreement pursuant to O.C.G.A. 36-71-13 for dedication of the following system improvements to mitigate traffic concerns: a) donation of right-of-way on the east side of Ebenezer Road, a minimum of 40' from the roadway centerline.

Recommend a left turn land and a deceleration lane on Ebenezer Road for the entrance.

Recommend private streets be constructed to the Cobb County Standard Specifications.

Recommend curb, gutter, and sidewalk along the Ebenezer Road frontage.

Recommend curb and gutter along both sides and sidewalk along one side of proposed development roadway.

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT **BLANK**

# **STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS**

# **Z-35 ADVENTUR LIVING, LLC**

- A. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal may not permit a use that is suitable in view of the use and development of adjacent and nearby properties. Other properties in this area are zoned and developed for single-family houses on individual lots.
- B. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal may not have an adverse affect on the usability of adjacent or nearby property. The proposed development has a higher density than other single-family residential subdivisions in this area.
- C. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will not result in a use which would cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools. This opinion can be supported by the departmental comments contained in this analysis.
- D. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal is in conformity with the policy and intent of the *Cobb County Comprehensive Plan*, as far as being an allowed category in the Low Density Residential (LDR) land use category. However, the proposed density of 4.87 units per acre is not compatible with some of the abutting and nearby single-family residential subdivisions. These subdivisions include: North Ridge Unit Two (zoned R-20 at approximately 1.40 units per acre); Princeton Manor (zoned R-20 at 1.7 units per acre); and Kristen Trace (zoned R-20 at 2.169 units per acre). The area also includes single-family house on large tracts of land.
- E. It is Staff's opinion that there are existing and changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property which give supporting grounds for approving the applicant's rezoning proposal, but at a density that is compatible with the LDR range of 1-2.5 units per acre. While the RSL nonsupportive district is allowed in the Low Density Residential (LDR) land use category, one of the requirements in that section of the ordinance is that the proposed RSL development be compatible with existing development. The developed single-family subdivisions in the area are at lower densities than the proposed 4.87 units per acre proposed in this application.

Based on the above analysis, Staff recommends APPROVAL subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Board of Commissioners to approve final site plan, with the District Commissioner approving minor modifications;
- 2. Maximum density of 2.5 units per acre;
- 3. Water and Sewer Division comments and recommendations;
- 4. Stormwater Management Division comments and recommendations;
- 5. Department of Transportation comments and recommendations; and
- 6. Owner/developer to enter into a Development Agreement pursuant to O.C.G.A. §36-71-13 for dedication of system improvements to mitigate traffic concerns.

The recommendations made by the Planning and Zoning Staff are only the opinions of the Planning and Zoning Staff and are by no means the final decision. The Cobb County Board of Commissioners makes the final decisions on all Rezoning and Land Use Permits at an advertised public hearing.

MAR - 3	2016 Application No Summary of Intent for Rezoning	M
B CO. COMM	DEV. AGENCY	
Part 1. Re	idential Rezoning Information (attach additional information if needed)	
a)	Proposed unit square-footage(s): MIN 1780 SF & GREATER	
b)	Proposed building architecture: TEANTIONAL	
c)	Proposed selling prices(s): 29.5 K + GREATER	_
d)	List all requested variances:	_
– Part 2. No	n-residential Rezoning Information (attach additional information if needed)	
a)	Proposed use(s): NOT APPLICA BLE	_
	Proposed building architecture:	-
b)		_
b) c)	Proposed hours/days of operation:	_ 

ال

Part 3. Other Pertinent Information (List or attach additional information if needed)

NONE AT THIS TIME

Part 4. Is any of the property included on the proposed site plan owned by the Local, State, or Federal Government? (Please list all Right-of-Ways, Government owned lots, County owned parcels and/or remnants, etc., and attach a plat clearly showing where these properties are located).

NONE KNOWN AT THIS TIME

\* APPLICANT SPECIFICALLY RESURVES THE RIGHT TO AMEND ANY INFORMATION SET FORTH IN THE SUMMARY OF INTENT, OR ANY ADDIDN OF THE APPLICATION FOR REZONING AT ANY TIME DURING THE RE ZONING PROCESS

H8 CD, OO	<sup>- 3 2016</sup> Summary of Intent for Rezoning	
1. Reside	ential Rezoning Information (attach additional information if needed)	
a)	Proposed unit square-footage(s): 1780 ep/actional 10005t	Eup
b)	Proposed building architecture: 1/2 state	
c) d)	Proposed selling prices(s): <u>#300,000 +0 #4 50,000</u> List all requested variances:	
u) 		
2. Non-r	esidential Rezoning Information (attach additional information if needed)	
a)	Proposed use(s):	
b)	Proposed building architecture:	
c)	Proposed hours/days of operation: <u>Reacher to Reacher man-proposed hours/days of operation</u>	-
d)	List all requested variances:	
	er Pertinent Information (List or attach additional information if needed)	
		nment?
4. Is an	y of the property included on the proposed site plan owned by the Local, State, or Federal Gover	mmene.