

APPLICANT: David Pearson Communities, Inc.	PETITION NO:	Z-48
PHONE#: (770) 321-5032 EMAIL: michele@davidpearsoncommunities.com	HEARING DATE (PC):	07-01-14
REPRESENTATIVE: J. Kevin Moore	HEARING DATE (BOC): _	07-15-14
<b>PHONE#:</b> (770) 429-1499 <b>EMAIL:</b> jkm@mijs.com	PRESENT ZONING:	LRO
TITLEHOLDER: Elizabeth D. Pearson		
	PROPOSED ZONING:	FST
<b>PROPERTY LOCATION:</b> <u>South side of First Drive, east of Sandy</u>		
Plains Road	PROPOSED USE: Detache	ed Single-Family
(1955 First Drive)	Т	ownhomes
ACCESS TO PROPERTY: First Drive	SIZE OF TRACT:	1.86 acres
	DISTRICT:	16
PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS TO SITE: House that was	LAND LOT(S):	629, 630
converted to an office	PARCEL(S):	9
	TAXES: PAID X DU	J <b>E</b>
CONTIGUOUS ZONING/DEVELOPMENT	COMMISSION DISTRICT	: _ 3

NORTH:	O&I/Office Development
SOUTH:	LRO/Offices and R-20/Single-family house
EAST:	SC/Arbor Oaks
WEST:	O&I/Sandy Plains Executive Center

OPPOSITION: NO. OPPOSED \_\_\_\_PETITION NO: \_\_\_\_SPOKESMAN \_\_\_\_\_

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION

APPROVED\_\_\_\_MOTION BY\_\_\_\_\_

REJECTED\_\_\_\_SECONDED\_\_\_\_

HELD\_\_\_\_CARRIED\_\_\_\_


#### **BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS DECISION**

APPROVED\_\_\_\_\_MOTION BY\_\_\_\_\_


REJECTED\_\_\_\_SECONDED\_\_\_\_

HELD\_\_\_\_CARRIED\_\_\_\_

**STIPULATIONS:** 



**Z-48** 



APPLICANT: David Pearson	Communities, Inc.	PETITION N	<b>O.:</b> <u>Z-48</u>
PRESENT ZONING: LRO		<b>PETITION F</b>	OR: FST
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * *
ZONING COMMENTS:	Staff Member Responsibl	e: Jason A. Campb	ell
Land Use Plan Recommenda	tion: Neighborhood Activity	Center (NAC)	
Proposed Number of Units:	11 Overall	<b>Density:</b> 5.91	Units/Acre
Staff estimate for allowable #		ncrease of: <u>11</u>	_Units/Lots

\*Estimate could be higher or lower based on engineered plans taking into account topography, shape of property, utilities, roadways, natural features such as creeks, wetlands, etc., and other unforeseen circumstances.

Applicant is requesting the Fee Simple Townhouse (FST) zoning category for the development of an 11-lot detached townhome community. The proposed detached townhomes will be traditional in architecture and the minimum unit size will be 2,000 square feet. The architectural features will be combinations of brick, stone, cedar shake and hardyplank. The proposed townhomes will range from \$300,000s and greater.

The site plan indicates a private street is planned and the applicant requests that the setbacks for the development be geared toward the private street, instead of First Drive. This will require granting a waiver of the front setback along the private street from 25 feet to 13 feet; waiving the rear setback abutting the eastern property line from 35 feet to 20 feet.

<u>Cemetery Preservation</u>: There is no significant impact on the cemetery site listed in the Cobb County Cemetery Preservation Commission's Inventory Listing which is located in this, or adjacent land lot.

# PRESENT ZONING: LRO

**PETITION NO.:** Z-48

# **PETITION FOR: FST**

# **SCHOOL COMMENTS:**

			Number of
		Capacity	Portable
Name of School	Enrollment	Status	Classrooms
Kincaid Elem	718	Over	
Elementary Simpson Middle	898	Over	
<b>Middle</b> Sprayberry High	1772	Under	

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### High

School attendance zones are subject to revision at any time. •

#### **Additional Comments:**

#### 

# **FIRE COMMENTS:**

When projects contemplate less than 20 foot separation between units, guest parking shall be provided or the streets shall be labeled as a fire lane.

#### PRESENT ZONING: LRO

**PETITION FOR:** FST

**PETITION NO.:** Z-48

#### PLANNING COMMENTS:

The applicant is requesting a rezoning from LRO to FST for detached single family townhomes. The 1.86 acre site is located on the south side of First Drive, east of Sandy Plains Road.

#### **Comprehensive Plan**

The parcel is within a Neighborhood Activity Center (NAC) future land use category, with LRO zoning designation. The purpose of the (NAC) category is to provide for areas that serve neighborhood residents and businesses. Typical land uses for these areas include small offices, limited retail and grocery stores.

#### Master Plan/Corridor Study

Not applicable.

#### Historic Preservation

After consulting various county historic resources surveys, historic maps, archaeology surveys and Civil War trench location maps, staff finds that no known significant historic resources appear to be affected by this application. No further comment. No action by applicant requested at this time.

#### <u>Design Guidelines</u>

Is the parcel in an area with Design Guidelines?	$\Box$ Yes	■ No
If yes, design guidelines area		
Does the current site plan comply with the design	requirements	?

#### **Incentive Zones**

Is the property within an Opportunity Zone? The Opportunity Zone is an incentive that provides \$3,500 tax credit per job in eligible areas if two or more jobs are being created. This incentive is available for new or existing businesses.

Is the property within an Enterprise Zone? The Enterprise Zone is an incentive that provides tax abatements and other economic incentives for qualifying businesses locating or expanding within designated areas for new jobs and capital investments.

Is the property eligible for incentives through the Commercial and Industrial Property Rehabilitation Program? □ Yes ■ No The Commercial and Industrial Property Rehabilitation Program is an incentive that provides a reduction in ad valorem property taxes for qualifying redevelopment in eligible areas.

#### Special Districts

Is this property within the Cumberland Special District #1 (hotel/motel fee)? □ Yes ■ No

Is this property within the Cumberland Special District #2 (ad valorem tax)? □ Yes ■ No

APPLICANT David Pearson Communities, Inc	<u>).</u>			РЕЛ	TITION NO. <u>Z-048</u>
PRESENT ZONING <u>LRO</u>				РЕТ	TITION FOR <u>FST</u>
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * *	* * * * * * * * * *	* *	* * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
WATER COMMENTS: NOTE: Comments refle	ect or	ly what facilities w	ere	in exis	stence at the time of this review.
Available at Development:		Yes			No
Fire Flow Test Required:		Yes			No
Size / Location of Existing Water Main(s): 6" D	I / S	side of First Drive	e		
Additional Comments: Master meter to be set at	publ	ic ROW			
Developer may be required to install/upgrade water mains, based on f Review Process.	ire flo	w test results or Fire De	partn	nent Coo	de. This will be resolved in the Plan
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * >	* * * * * * * * *	* *	* * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
SEWER COMMENTS: NOTE: Comments re	eflect	only what facilities	s we	re in e	xistence at the time of this review.
In Drainage Basin:	$\checkmark$	Yes			No
At Development:	✓	Yes			No
Approximate Distance to Nearest Sewer: First	t Dri	ve ROW along pr	ope	rty fro	ontage
Estimated Waste Generation (in G.P.D.): A D	) F=	1,760		Р	eak= 4,400
Treatment Plant:		Sutton			
Plant Capacity:	$\checkmark$	Available		Not A	Available
Line Capacity:	✓	Available		Not A	Available
Proiected Plant Availability:	✓	0 - 5 vears		5 - 10	0 vears $\Box$ over 10 vears
Drv Sewers Required:		Yes	✓	No	
Off-site Easements Required:		Yes*	✓	No	*If off-site easements are required, Developer must submit easements to CCWS for
Flow Test Required:		Yes	✓	No	review/approval as to form and stipulations prior to the execution of easements by the
Letter of Allocation issued:		Yes	✓	No	property owners. All easement acquisitions are the responsibility of the Developer
Septic Tank Recommended by this Department:		Yes	✓	No	
Subject to Health Department Approval:		Yes	✓	No	
Additional Sewer to be private in private stree Comments:	et				

Developer will be responsible for connecting to the existing County water and sewer systems, installing and/or upgrading all outfalls and water mains, obtaining on and/or offsite easements, dedication of on and/or offsite water and sewer to Cobb County, as may be required. Rezoning does not guarantee water/sewer availability/capacity unless so stated in writing by the Cobb County Water System. Permit issuances subject to continued treatment plant compliance with EPD discharge requirements.

## APPLICANT: David Pearson Communities, Inc.

#### PETITION NO.: Z-48

# PRESENT ZONING: LRO

**PETITION FOR: FST** 

# STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMMENTS

FLOOD HAZARD: YES NO POSSIBLY, NOT VERIFIED
<ul> <li>DRAINAGE BASIN: <u>Sewell Mill Creek</u> FLOOD HAZARD INFO: Zone X</li> <li>FEMA Designated 100 year Floodplain Flood.</li> <li>Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance DESIGNATED FLOOD HAZARD.</li> <li>Project subject to the Cobb County Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance Requirements.</li> <li>Dam Breach zone from (upstream) (onsite) lake - need to keep residential buildings out of hazard.</li> </ul>
<u>WETLANDS:</u> $\Box$ YES $\boxtimes$ NO $\Box$ POSSIBLY, NOT VERIFIED
Location:
The Owner/Developer is responsible for obtaining any required wetland permits from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineer.
STREAMBANK BUFFER ZONE: 🗌 YES 🖾 NO 🗌 POSSIBLY, NOT VERIFIED
<ul> <li>Metropolitan River Protection Area (within 2000' of Chattahoochee River) ARC (review 35' undisturbed buffer each side of waterway).</li> <li>Chattahoochee River Corridor Tributary Area - County review (<u>undisturbed</u> buffer each side).</li> <li>Georgia Erosion-Sediment Control Law and County Ordinance - County Review/State Review.</li> <li>Georgia DNR Variance may be required to work in 25 foot streambank buffers.</li> <li>County Buffer Ordinance: 50', 75', 100' or 200' each side of creek channel.</li> </ul>
DOWNSTREAM CONDITION
<ul> <li>Potential or Known drainage problems exist for developments downstream from this site.</li> <li>Stormwater discharges must be controlled not to exceed the capacity available in the downstream storm drainage system.</li> <li>Minimize runoff into public roads.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Minimize the effect of concentrated stormwater discharges onto adjacent properties.</li> <li>Developer must secure any R.O.W required to receive concentrated discharges where none exist naturally</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Existing Lake Downstream</li> <li>Additional BMP's for erosion sediment controls will be required.</li> </ul>
Lake Study needed to document sediment levels. Stormwater discharges through an established residential neighborhood downstream.
$\boxtimes$ Project engineer must evaluate the impact of increased volume of runoff generated by the proposed

project on existing downstream Arbor Oaks drainage system and detention pond.

#### **APPLICANT: David Pearson Communities, Inc.**

#### **PETITION NO.: Z-48**

#### **PRESENT ZONING: LRO**

#### **PETITION FOR: FST**

#### **STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMMENTS – Continued**

#### SPECIAL SITE CONDITIONS

- Provide comprehensive hydrology/stormwater controls to include development of out parcels.
- Submit all proposed site improvements to Plan Review.
- Any **spring activity** uncovered must be addressed by a qualified geotechnical engineer (PE).
- Structural fill \_\_\_\_\_ must be placed under the direction of a qualified registered Georgia geotechnical engineer (PE).
- Existing facility.
- Project must comply with the Water Quality requirements of the CWA-NPDES-NPS Permit and County Water Quality Ordinance.
- Water Quality/Quantity contributions of the existing lake/pond on site must be continued as baseline conditions into proposed project.
- Calculate and provide % impervious of project site.
- Revisit design; reduce pavement area to reduce runoff and pollution.

#### **INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION**

] No Stormwater controls shown

Copy of survey is not current – Additional comments may be forthcoming when current site conditions are exposed.

No site improvements showing on exhibit.

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

- 1. This site is located on First Drive between Sandy Plains Executive Center to the west and the Arbor Oaks Suburban Condominium development to the east. The entire site drains to the east through the Arbor Oaks development. With the proposed layout a drainage easement will be required along the rear of all the lots to adequately control runoff from the site. Since it appears that underground detention will be utilized under the private street, it may be necessary to relocate the street to the low-side of the site, adjacent to Arbor Oaks, to adequately control site runoff.
- 2. The detention pond for the adjacent Sandy Plains Executive Center discharges through an existing pipe along the southern boundary of this site. An adequate drainage easement must be provided for this conveyance.
- 3. Since private streets are proposed all stormwater management within the development including the detention facility will be privately maintained in perpetuity by the HOA.

PETITION NO.: <u>Z-48</u>

#### PRESENT ZONING: LRO PETITION FOR: FST

#### TRANSPORTATION COMMENTS

The following comments and recommendations are based on field investigation and office review of the subject rezoning case:

ROADWAY	AVERAGE DAILY TRIPS	ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION	SPEED LIMIT	JURISDICTIONAL CONTROL	MIN. R.O.W. REQUIREMENTS
First Drive	7200	Local	25 mph	Cobb County	50'

#### **COMMENTS AND OBSERVATIONS**

First Drive is classified as a local and according to the available information the existing right-ofway does not meet the minimum requirements for this classification.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Recommend applicant consider entering into a development agreement pursuant to O.C.G.A. 36-71-13 for dedication of the following system improvements to mitigate traffic concerns: a) donation of right-of-way on the south side of First Drive, a minimum of 25' from the roadway centerline.

Recommend curb, gutter, and sidewalk along the First Drive frontage.

Recommend a deceleration lane and left turn lane for the Paul Samuel Road access.

Recommend private streets be constructed to the Cobb County Standard Specifications.

Recommend applicant be required to meet all Cobb County Development Standards and Ordinances related to project improvements.

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT **BLANK**

# **STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS**

## Z-48 DAVID PEARSON COMMUNITIES, INC.

- A. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will not permit a use that is suitable in view of the use and development of adjacent and nearby properties. The subject property is bordered by suburban condominiums to the east an office development to the west and single-family houses to the southeast.
- B. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will have an adverse affect on the usability of adjacent or nearby property. The subject property consists of a single-family house that was converted into one office building as a result of Z-54 of 1998 and a subsequent case, Z-89 of 2003 (minutes attached) and the property has only been used for an office under LRO.
- C. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will result in a use which would cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools. This opinion can be supported by the departmental comments contained in this analysis.
- D. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal is not conformity with the policy and intent of the *Cobb County Comprehensive Plan*, which delineates this property to be within the Neighborhood Activity Center (NAC) land use category. The requested zoning category of FST for single-family, detached townhomes is not compatible with the *Cobb County Comprehensive Plan*.
- E. It is Staff's opinion that there are existing and changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property which give supporting grounds for deleting the applicant's rezoning proposal to the RA-5 category. While this is not the intent of the *Cobb County Comprehensive Plan* designation of NAC, staff believes an RA-5 development could be a better fit for this area.

Based on the above analysis, Staff recommends DELETING the request to RA-5 subject to the following conditions:

- Site plan to meet all RA-5 requirements;
- Detached, single-family houses only;
- Fire Department comments and recommendations;
- Water and Sewer Division comments and recommendations;
- Stormwater Management Division comments and recommendations;
- Department of Transportation comments and recommendations; and
- Owner/developer to enter into a Development Agreement pursuant to O.C.G.A. §36-71-13 for dedication of system improvements to mitigate traffic concerns.

The recommendations made by the Planning and Zoning Staff are only the opinions of the Planning and Zoning Staff and are by no means the final decision. The Cobb County Board of Commissioners makes the final decisions on all Rezoning and Land Use Permits at an advertised public hearing.

MAY 1 - 2014 COBB CO, COMM. DEV. AGENCY



Application #: <u>Z- 46</u> (2014) PC Hearing Date: <u>07/01/2014</u> BOC Hearing Date: <u>07/15/2014</u>

# Summary of Intent for Rezoning

Part 1. Residential Rezoning Information (attach additional information if needed)

a) Proposed unit square-footage(s): Minimum 2,000 square feet

b) Proposed building architecture: Traditional; Combination of brick, stone, cedar shake

c) Proposed selling prices(s): \$300,000s and greater and hardi-plank

d) List all requested variances: <u>None known at this time</u>

.....

Part 2. Non-residential Rezoning Information (attach additional information if needed)

a) Proposed use(s): \_\_\_\_\_ Not Applicable \_\_\_\_\_

b) Proposed building architecture:

c) Proposed hours/days of operation:

d) List all requested variances:

Part 3. Other Pertinent Information (List or attach additional information if needed)

None known at this time.

at any time during the rezoning process.

.....

Part 4. Is any of the property included on the proposed site plan owned by the Local, State, or Federal Government?

(Please list all Right-of-Ways, Government owned lots, County owned parcels and/or remnants, etc., and attach a

plat clearly showing where these properties are located). None known at this time.

PAGE	2	OF	2	APPLICATION NO.
	and the second se		Contraction of the owner o	

07-15-03

Z-48 (2014) Previous Zoning Minutes/Analysis

ORIGINAL DATE OF APPLICATION:

APPLICANTS NAME: \_\_\_\_\_ PEARSON COMMUNITIES, INC.

# THE FOLLOWING REPRESENTS THE FINAL DECISIONS OF THE COBB COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

# BOC DECISION OF 07-15-03 ZONING HEARING:


**PEARSON COMMUNITIES, INC.** (David Pearson, owner) for Rezoning from **LRO** to **OI** for the purpose of Offices in Land Lots 629 and 630 of the 16<sup>th</sup> District. Located on the south side of First Drive, east of Sandy Plains Road.

The public hearing was opened and Mr. David Pearson, Mr. Bob Ott, Mr. Don Brundage, Mr. Tom Margeson, Ms. Carolyn Stultz, and Mr. Thomas Howard addressed the Board. Following presentations and discussion, the following motion was made:

MOTION: Motion by Lee, second by W. Thompson, to <u>delete</u> rezoning to the LRO zoning district subject to:

- removal of previous zoning stipulation which restricted use to existing structures (Stipulation No. 1, Z-54 of 4/7/98)
- site plan to be approved by the Board of Commissioners
- Water and Sewer Division comments and recommendations
- Stormwater Management Division comments and recommendations
- Cobb DOT comments and recommendations

VOTE: ADOPTED unanimously



-

APPLICANT: Pearson Communities, Inc.	PETITION NO: Prev	(2014) ious Zoning ites/Analysis
770-321-5032	HEARING DATE (PC):	7-01-03
REPRESENTATIVE: David Pearson	HEARING DATE (BOC):	7-15-03
770-321-5032	PRESENT ZONING:	LRO
TITLEHOLDER: David Pearson		
770-321-5032	PROPOSED ZONING:	OI
PROPERTY LOCATION: Located on the south side of First Drive,		
east of Sandy Plains Road.	PROPOSED USE: Three-st	ory office
	building	g
ACCESS TO PROPERTY: First Drive	SIZE OF TRACT:	1.86 acres
	DISTRICT:	16
PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS TO SITE: Existing office	LAND LOT(S):	629, 630
building	PARCEL(S):	9
	TAXES: PAID X DU	JE
CONTIGUOUS ZONING/DEVELOPMENT	COMMISSION DISTRICT:	3

NORTH: OI/	office complex
------------	----------------

SOUTH: LRO, R-20/ undeveloped, single-family house (grandfathered tree service)

EAST: SC/ Arbor Oaks Condominiums

WEST: OI/ office complex

OPPOSITION: NO. OPPOSED\_\_\_\_PETITION NO:\_\_\_\_SPOKESMAN\_\_\_\_\_

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION

APPROVED\_\_\_\_MOTION BY\_\_\_\_\_

REJECTED\_\_\_\_SECONDED\_\_\_\_\_

HELD\_\_\_\_CARRIED\_\_\_\_


BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS DECISION


APPROVED\_\_\_\_MOTION BY\_\_\_\_\_

REJECTED\_\_\_\_\_SECONDED\_\_\_\_\_

HELD\_\_\_\_CARRIED\_\_\_\_\_

STIPULATIONS:





APPLICANT: Pe PRESENT ZONING:	arson Communities, Inc.	PETITION N PETITION F		Z-48 (2014) Previous Zoning Minutes/Analysis
** ** * * * * * * * * * *	***********	****	* * * * *	* * * * * * *
PLANNING COMMEN	18: Staff Membe	er Responsible: Mark A. Dann	eman	
Land Use Plan R	ecommendation: Co	ommunity Activity Center		
Proposed Numbe	r of Buildings: 1	Total Square Footage of Dev	velopmen	t: 35,000
<b>F.A.R.</b> 18,817	Square Footage/A	Acre		
Parking Spaces R	lequired: 123	Parking Spaces Provided:	100	
property. The build will be used for ge review (see Exhibi	ding will be traditional in neral and professional of t "A"). It should be note	ategory to develop a three-story a styling, with a facade of brick a ffices. The previous rezoning sti d here that there is a 20-foot buf shown, and the required parking	and stone. pulations a fer require	The building are attached for ed adjacent to

Historic Preservation: No comments related to potential historic structures and/or earthworks on this site.

<u>Cemetery Preservation</u>: There is no significant impact on the cemetery site listed in the Cobb County Cemetery Preservation Commission's Inventory Listing which is located in this, or adjacent land lot.

# FIRE COMMENTS:

Station No. & Location	<b>Response Time</b>	
1		[]adequate[]inadequate
2.		adequate inadequate
3.		adequate inadequate
		-

GPM Requirements: Water Main Size Required:

ł

APPLICANT Pearson Communities Inc		Z-48 (2014 Previous Z PETITION NO. Z Minutes/A	
PRESENT ZONING LRO		PETITION FOR OI	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ŝ
NOTE: Comments reflect only what fac	cilities were in existen	ce at the time of this review.	
WATER COMMENTS:			
Available at Development?	✓ Yes	🗆 No	
Fire Flow Test Required?	🗹 Yes	🗆 No	
Size / Location of Existing Water Main(s): 6"	DI/S side First D	<u>)r</u>	
Additional Comments:			
Developer may be required to install/upgrade water ma will be resolved in the Plan Review Process. * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ins, based on fire flow t	test results or Fire Department Code. This * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
In Drainage Basin?	Yes	□ No	
At Development?	Yes	□ No	
Approximate Distance to Nearest Sewer: At	site in First Dr		

Estimated Waste Generation (in G.P.D.): A D F	<u>3500</u>	Peak <u>8750</u>
Treatment Plant:	Sutton	
Plant Capacity Available?	✓ Yes	🗆 No
Line Capacity Available?	☑ Yes	🗀 No
Projected Plant Availability:	✓ 0 - 5 year	□ 5 - 10 years □ over 10 years
Dry Sewers Required?	□ Yes	🗹 No
Off-site Easements Required?	[] Yes*	No * If off-site easements are required, Developer must submit
Flow Test Required?	□ Yes	✓ No easements to CCWS for review / approval as to form and
Letter of Allocation issued?	□ Yes	✓ No stipulations prior to the execution of easement(s) by the property
Septic Tank Recommended by this Department?	🗇 Yes	No owner(s). All easement acquisitions are the responsibility
Subject to Health Department Approval?	L Yes	of the Developer.
Additional Comments:		

Developer will be responsible for connecting to the existing County water and sewer systems, installing and/or upgrading all outfalls and water mains, obtaining on and/or off site easements, dedication of on and/or off site water and sewer to Cobb County, as may be required. Rezoning does not guarantee water/sewer availability/capacity unless so stated in writing by the Cobb County Water System. Permit issuances subject to continued treatment plant compliance with EPD discharge requirements.

Also, sewer at or near W property line

APPLICANT: Pearson Communities, Inc. PRESENT ZONING: LRO	PETITION NO.: Z-89           PETITION FOR: Ω1           * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Minutes/Analysis
DRAINAGE COMMENTS		
ELQOD HAZARD:       YES       NO       POSSIBLY, NOT VI         DRAINAGE BASIN:       Sewell Mill Creek of Sope System       FLOO         FEMA Designated 100 year Floodplain Flood.       Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance DESIGNATED FLOOD H         Project subject to the Cobb County Flood Damage Prevention Or	OD HAZARD INFO: <u>None</u> IAZARD.	
□ Dam Breach zone from (upstream) (onsite) lake - need to keep re WETLANDS: □ YES ⊠ NO □ POSSIBLY, NOT VERIF Location:	sidential buildings out of haz	zard.
<ul> <li>□ The Owner/Developer is responsible for obtaining any required work of Engineer.</li> <li>STREAMBANK BUFFER ZONE: □ YES ⊠ NO □ POSSI</li> </ul>		. Army Corps
<ul> <li>Metropolitan River Protection Area (within 2000' of Chattahood buffer each side of waterway).</li> <li>Chattahoochee River Corridor Tributary Area - County review ( Georgia Erosion-Sediment Control Law and County Ordinance Georgia DNR Variance may be required to work in 25 foot stream County Buffer Ordinance: 50', 75', 100' or 200' each side of creations.</li> </ul>	undisturbed buffer each County Review/State Review nbank buffers.	h side).
DOWNSTREAM CONDITION		
<ul> <li>Potential or Known drainage problems exist for developments do</li> <li>Stormwater discharges must be controlled not to exceed the cardrainage system.</li> <li>Minimize runoff into public roads.</li> <li>Minimize the effect of concentrated stormwater discharges onto a</li> <li>Developer must secure any R.O.W required to receive concentrated</li> <li>Existing Lake Downstream</li> <li>Additional BMP's for erosion sediment controls will be required.</li> <li>Lake Study needed to document sediment levels.</li> <li>Stormwater discharges through an established residential neighbo</li> <li>Project engineer must evaluate the impact of increased volume of on downstream storm drainage system.</li> </ul>	pacity available in the down djacent properties. ed discharges where none ex rhood downstream.	cist naturally

#### APPLICANT: Pearson Communities, Inc.

PETITION NO.: Z-8

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Z-48 (2014) Previous Zoning Minutes/Analysis

#### PRESENT ZONING: LRO

PETITION FOR: QI

#### DRAINAGE COMMENTS CONTINUED

\*

#### SPECIAL SITE CONDITIONS

- Provide comprehensive hydrology/stormwater controls to include development of out parcels.
- Submit all proposed site improvements to Plan Review.
- Any spring activity uncovered must be addressed by a qualified geotechnical engineer (PE).
- Structural fill \_\_\_\_\_ must be placed under the direction of a qualified registered Georgia geotechnical engineer (PE).
- \_\_\_\_ Existing facility.
- Project must comply with the Water Quality requirements of the CWA-NPDES-NPS Permit and County Water Quality Ordinance.
- Water Quality/Quantity contributions of the existing lake/pond on site must be continued as baseline conditions into proposed project.
- Calculate and provide % impervious of project site.
- Revisit design; reduce pavement area to reduce runoff and pollution.

#### INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION

No Stormwater controls shown

- Copy of survey is not current Additional comments may be forthcoming when current site conditions are exposed.
- No site improvements showing on exhibit.

#### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS

- Natural slope of tract is to general area of proposed detention. Historically, flow crossed into next
  property as sheet flow along Eastern boundary. At Plan Review discharges must conform to historical.
  No concentrated flow channel exists on adjacent property.
- This design is very impervious intensive and will load the downstream system to a greater level than
  now---downstream are tandem detention ponds---upstream from this project is a major detention pond.
  At Plan Review, the designer of this project must route the entire system before and after to
  demonstrate no worse condition than now exists.

APPLICANT: Pearson Communities

PRESENT ZONING: LRO

\* \* \* \* \*

\* \* \*

TRANSPORTATION COMMENTS

The following comments and recommendations are based on field investigation and office review of the subject rezoning case:

ROADWAY	AVERAGE DAILY TRIPS	CLASSIFICATION	MIN. R.O.W. REQUIREMENTS
First Drive	N/A	Non-residential Local	60'

First Drive is classified as a Non-residential Local and according to the available information, the existing right-of-way does meet the minimum requirements for this classification.

Install sidewalk, curb, gutter and drainage structures.

An FAA study is required for all structures 4 stories and greater.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommend installing sidewalk, curb, gutter and drainage structures.

Recommend an FAA study.

Recommend applicant be required to meet all Cobb County Development Standards and Ordinances related to project improvements.

#### Z-89 PEARSON COMMUNITIES, INC.

- A. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will not permit a use that is suitable in view of the use and development of adjacent and nearby properties. The offices that are developed to the north and west, and offices under development to the southwest, have zoning stipulations that restrict the buildings to one or two stories. There are new Suburban Condominiums being developed to the east that are one-story. The scale of this building, compared to the development on adjacent and nearby properties, would be out-of-character with the area.
- B. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will have an adverse affect on the usability of adjacent or nearby property. The applicant's proposal would be a very intrusive use on First Drive, and would not provide a step-down in zoning intensity. Staff believes it would be inappropriate to place a three story building adjacent to single-story residential units. These larger type buildings should not be placed on the residential edge of commercial nodes; they should be located near the center, or along the major thoroughfares in the commercial nodes.
- C. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will result in a use which would cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools. This opinion can be supported by the departmental comments contained in this analysis.
- D. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal is in conformity with the policy of the *Cobb County Comprehensive Plan*, which delineates this property to be within Community Activity Center. However, Staff believes two of the major guidelines are not being followed, which are: 1. "A transition in building scale and land use type should be provided between higher intensity uses and adjacent residential uses. Transitional land uses could include low intensity offices or higher density residential uses." 2. "More intense uses should be focused on those properties near the geographic center of the CAC and away from existing residential development." Based on these two guidelines, Staff believes the applicant's proposal does not meet the intent of the *Cobb County Comprehensive Plan*.
- E. It is Staff's opinion that there are existing and changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property which give supporting grounds for denying the applicant's rezoning proposal. The proposal does not meet two important guidelines as referenced in item D. The proposal does not fit the character of the area, including other office developments, which are two-stories or less. Staff believes a building of this scale should be located near the center of the commercial node, instead of on the residential edge, adjacent to single-story residential development. Additionally, the applicant's proposal does not meet minimum buffering or minimum parking requirements

Based on the above analysis, Staff recommends DENIAL.


The recommendations made by the Planning and Zoning Staff are only the opinions of the Planning and Zoning Staff and are by no means the final decision. The Cobb County Board of Commissioners makes the final decisions on all Rezoning and Land Use Permits at an advertised public hearing.

PAGE _ 2 _ OF _ 2	_	APPLICATION NO. Z-54	Exhibit "A" – Z-89
ORIGINAL DATE OF AP	PLICATION:	04-98	PAGE 1 OF 2 Z-48 (2014)
APPLICANTS NAME:	PEARSON COMM	IUNITIES	Z-48 (2014) Previous Zoning Minutes/Analysis

#### THE FOLLOWING REPRESENTS THE FINAL DECISIONS OF THE COBB COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

#### BOC DECISION OF 4-07-98 ZONING HEARING:

PEARSON COMMUNITIES (Kenneth Swan, owner) for Rezoning from R-20 to OI for the purpose of Office Use in Existing Structures in Land Lot 629 and 630 of the 16<sup>th</sup> District. 1.86 acre. Located on the south side of First Street, east of Sandy Plains Road. Following statement of concessions by the applicant, the Board of Commissioners deleted Rezoning to the LRO zoning district subject to: 1) use of existing structures; 2) no disturbance of site unless approved by the Board of Commissioners, except for renovation of existing structures and parking surfaces, and installation of landscaping (including Leyland Cypress) adjacent to residentially zoned properties, with landscaping plan to be approved by Staff; 3) no heavy equipment on site (this condition prohibits storage or parking and disallows visits to site of any type heavy equipment); 4) project subject to Stormwater Management Division comments and recommendations; 5) project subject DOT comments and recommendations; to Cobb 6) owner/developer to enter into a Development Agreement pursuant to O.C.G.A. §36-71-13 for dedication of system improvements to mitigate traffic concerns. Motion by Wysong, second by Byrne, carried 4-0.



March 28, 2003



Cobb County Zoning Dept. Marietta, GA

To Whom It May Concern:

Following is a complete written, documented analysis of the impact of the proposed rezoning with respect to each of the following matters:

- a. The zoning proposal will permit a use that is suitable in view of the use and development of adjacent and nearby property;
- b. The zoning proposal will not adversely affect the existing use or usability of adjacent or nearby property;
- c. The property to be affected by the zoning proposal has a reasonable economic use as currently zoned;
- d. The zoning proposal will not result in a use which will or could cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools;
- e. The zoning proposal is in conformity with the policy and intent of the land use plan;
- f. The property is currently zoned for LRO.

Otherwise, there are no other existing or changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property which could give supporting grounds for either approval or disapproval of the zoning proposal.

Sincerely,