

APPLICANT: The F	Ryland Group, LLC	PETITION NO:	Z-72
		HEARING DATE (PC):	12-03-13
REPRESENTATIVE	E: John H. Moore 770-429-1499	HEARING DATE (BOC): _	12-17-13
	Moore Ingram Johnson & Steele, LLP	PRESENT ZONING:	R-30. R-20
TITLEHOLDER: W	Villoughby & Sewell Development, Ltd.; A. Lee		
Brand, Jr.; Janella S. I	Brand; Jennifer B. Gruber	PROPOSED ZONING:	R-20
PROPERTY LOCAT	ΓΙΟΝ: Southeast side of Cedarcrest Road		_
and the west side of Old Dallas Acworth Road		PROPOSED USE: Single-Fa	nmily Residential
ACCESS TO PROPI	ERTY: Cedarcrest Road and	SIZE OF TRACT:	117.4 acres
Old Dallas Acworth Road		DISTRICT:	20
PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS TO SITE: Single-family house		LAND LOT(S):	39, <i>40</i> , 76
and wooded acreage		PARCEL(S):	16. 17, 1, 4, 9
		TAXES: PAID X DU	
CONTIGUOUS ZONING/DEVELOPMENT		COMMISSION DISTRICT:	
NORTH:	R-30/Single-family Houses and PD/Governors To	owne Club	
SOUTH:	R-30/Single-family Houses and R-20/Woodstream Subdivision		
EAST:	R-30/Bridgemont Subdivision; R-20/Deer Springs	s & Picketts Glen Subdivisions an	nd

OPPOSITION: NO. OPPOSED___PETITION NO:____SPOKESMAN ____

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION

R-30/Single-family Houses

R-30/Single-family Houses

APPROVED____MOTION BY____ REJECTED___SECONDED____

HELD____CARRIED____

WEST:


BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS DECISION


APPROVED____MOTION BY_____

REJECTED__SECONDED____

HELD__CARRIED____

STIPULATIONS:





APPLICANT: The Ryland Group, LLC		PETITION	PETITION NO.:	
PRESENT ZONING:	R-30, R-20	PETITION	FOR:	R-20
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * *	* * * * * * * * *
ZONING COMMENTS	: Staff Membe	er Responsible: Jason A. Campb	ell	
Land Use Plan Recomm	endation: Rural	Residential (0-1 unit per acre)		
Proposed Number of Un	nits: 158	Overall Density: 1.345	_ Unit	ts/Acre
	ower based on engineered	Units* Increase of: 41 I plans taking into account topography, shunforeseen circumstances.		s/Lots operty, utilities, roadways,
subdivision. The houses The exteriors will be of b	will be a minimum o rick, stone, stacked s	ory for the purpose of developing of 2,400 square feet and will be tractione, cedar-shake type, hardi-plantin price from \$300,000 and greater	ditional : k type si	and cottage-style.
		ificant impact on the cemetery sit y Listing which is located in this, or		•
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * *	* * * * * * * *

After analyzing the information presented for a Preliminary Review, the Cobb County Fire Marshal's Office is confident that all other items can be addressed during the Plan Review Stage.

APPLICANT: The Ryland Group, LLC		PETITION NO.:	Z-72
PRESENT ZONING: R-30, R-20		PETITION FOR:	R-20
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * *
PLANNING COMMENTS:			
The applicant is requesting a rezoning from R-30 a acre site is located on the southeast side of Cedarcr			
Comprehensive Plan			
The parcel is within a Rural Residential (RR) futu purpose of the Rural Residential (RR) category density housing development. Such areas include services, and transportation corridors, or have particular Residential category provides for development that	is to provide for area those difficult to sew rticular sensitive envi	as that are suitable for er, furthest from major fronment features or so	Cobb County's lowe activity centers, public
Master Plan/Corridor Study			
Not applicable.			
Historic Preservation			
After consulting various county historic resources location maps, staff finds that no known significate further comment. No action by applicant requested	nt historic resources		
Design Guidelines			
Is the parcel in an area with Design Guidelines?	□ Yes	■ No	
If yes, design guidelines area			
Does the current site plan comply with the design r	requirements?		
Incentive Zones			
Is the property within an Opportunity Zone? The Opportunity Zone is an incentive that provide being created. This incentive is available for new of			if two or more jobs a
Is the property within an Enterprise Zone? The Enterprise Zone is an incentive that provid businesses locating or expanding within designated			centives for qualifying
Is the property eligible for incentives through the C	Commercial and Indus	trial Property Rehabilita No	ation Program?
The Commercial and Industrial Property Rehabilita			reduction in ad valore

For more information on design guidelines, please contact the Community Development Planning Division at 770.528.2015.

property taxes for qualifying redevelopment in eligible areas.

For more information on incentives, please call the Office of Economic Development at 770.528.2607 or find information online at http://economic.cobbcountyga.gov.

APPLICANT The Ryland Group, LLC

PRESENT ZONING R-30, R-20

PETITION NO. Z-072
PETITION FOR R-20

WATER COMMENTS: NOTE: Comments reflect only what facilities were in existence at the time of this review. Available at Development: ✓ Yes No Fire Flow Test Required: Yes No Size / Location of Existing Water Main(s): 8" DI / S side of Cedarcrest Road Additional Comments: Dual water feed will be required. Developer may be required to install/upgrade water mains, based on fire flow test results or Fire Department Code. This will be resolved in the Plan Review Process. **SEWER COMMENTS:** NOTE: Comments reflect only what facilities were in existence at the time of this review. In Drainage Basin: ✓ Yes No At Development: Yes ✓ No Approximate Distance to Nearest Sewer: +/- 1,350' to Paulding County line Estimated Waste Generation (in G.P.D.): 15800 Peak = 39500A D F **Paulding Treatment Plant: ✓** Available ☐ Not Available Plant Capacity: Line Capacity: Available ☐ Not Available **✓** 0 - 5 years Projected Plant Availability: 5 - 10 years over 10 years Dry Sewers Required: Yes ✓ No. *If off-site easements are required, Developer Off-site Easements Required: Yes* □ No must submit easements to CCWS for review/approval as to form and stipulations Flow Test Required: Yes ✓ No. prior to the execution of easements by the property owners. All easement acquisitions Letter of Allocation issued: Yes ✓ No are the responsibility of the Developer Septic Tank Recommended by this Department: Yes ✓ No Subject to Health Department Approval: Yes ✓ No Development to be served by Paulding County per the 2008 intergovernmental agreement. 1 Additional ERU = 250 GPDComments:

Developer will be responsible for connecting to the existing County water and sewer systems, installing and/or upgrading all outfalls and water mains, obtaining on and/or offsite easements, dedication of on and/or offsite water and sewer to Cobb County, as may be required. Rezoning does not guarantee water/sewer availability/capacity unless so stated in writing by the Cobb County Water System. Permit issuances subject to continued treatment plant compliance with EPD discharge requirements.

PRESENT ZONING: R-20. R-30	PETITION FOR: R-20
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMMENTS]
<u>FLOOD HAZARD:</u> ⊠ YES □ NO □ POSSIBLY	Y, NOT VERIFIED
DRAINAGE BASIN: <u>Trib to Pumpkinvine Creek</u> ☐ FEMA Designated 100 year Floodplain Flood. ☐ Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance DESIGNATED ☐ Project subject to the Cobb County Flood Damage Pre ☐ Dam Breach zone from (upstream) (onsite) lake(s) - re	FLOOD HAZARD. evention Ordinance Requirements.
WETLANDS: ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ POSSIBLY, NO	OT VERIFIED
Location: within and adjacent to onsite streams and p	ponds
∑ The Owner/Developer is responsible for obtaining an of Engineer.	y required wetland permits from the U.S. Army Corps
STREAMBANK BUFFER ZONE: X YES X NO	☐ POSSIBLY, NOT VERIFIED
 Metropolitan River Protection Area (within 2000' of buffer each side of waterway). Chattahoochee River Corridor Tributary Area - Count Georgia Erosion-Sediment Control Law and County County Georgia DNR Variance may be required to work in 25 County Buffer Ordinance: 50', 75', 100' or 200' each 	ty review (<u>undisturbed</u> buffer each side). Ordinance - County Review/State Review. 5 foot streambank buffers.
DOWNSTREAM CONDITION	
 □ Potential or Known drainage problems exist for devel □ Stormwater discharges must be controlled not to ex drainage system. □ Minimize runoff into public roads. 	ceed the capacity available in the downstream storm
Minimize the effect of concentrated stormwater discharged Developer must secure any R.O.W required to receive Existing Lake Downstream Additional BMP's for erosion sediment controls will be	e concentrated discharges where none exist naturally be required.
 Lake Study needed to document sediment levels. Stormwater discharges through an established residen Project engineer must evaluate the impact of increase on receiving stream. 	tial neighborhood downstream. ed volume of runoff generated by the proposed project

PETITION NO.: <u>Z-72</u>

APPLICANT: The Ryland Group, LLC

APPLICANT: The Ryland Group, LLC	PETITION NO.: <u>Z-72</u>
PRESENT ZONING: R-20, R-30	PETITION FOR: R-20
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMMEN	NTS – Continued
SPECIAL SITE CONDITIONS	
 □ Provide comprehensive hydrology/stormwater control □ Submit all proposed site improvements to Plan Review □ Any spring activity uncovered must be addressed by □ Structural fill must be placed under the dire engineer (PE). □ Existing facility. □ Project must comply with the Water Quality require Water Quality Ordinance. □ Water Quality/Quantity contributions of the existin conditions into proposed project. □ Calculate and provide % impervious of project site. □ Revisit design; reduce pavement area to reduce runoff 	v. a qualified geotechnical engineer (PE). ction of a qualified registered Georgia geotechnical ments of the CWA-NPDES-NPS Permit and County g lake/pond on site must be continued as baseline
INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION	
 No Stormwater controls shown Copy of survey is not current − Additional comments exposed. No site improvements showing on exhibit. 	may be forthcoming when current site conditions are

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

- 1. This site is located just south of Cedarcrest Road adjacent to an unnamed tributary to Pumpkinvine Creek just east of Paulding County line. The site is predominately wooded with average slopes ranging from 5 to 15 %.
- 2. The main stream through the site has been studied by approximate methods and several tributaries are unstudied. A detailed floodplain study will be required at Plan Review before the site layout can be finalized.

TRANSPORTATION COMMENTS

The following comments and recommendations are based on field investigation and office review of the subject rezoning case:

ROADWAY	AVERAGE DAILY TRIPS	ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION	SPEED LIMIT	JURISDICTIONAL CONTROL	MIN. R.O.W. REQUIREMENTS
Cedarcrest Road	12,200	Major Collector	35 mph	Cobb County	80'
Acworth Dallas Road	N/A	Local	25 mph	Cobb County	50'

Based on 2008 traffic counting data taken by Cobb County DOT (Cedarcrest Road)

COMMENTS AND OBSERVATIONS

Cedarcrest Road is classified as a major collector and according to the available information the existing right-of-way does not meet the minimum requirements for this classification.

Acworth Dallas Road is classified as a local and according to the available information the existing right-of-way does meet the minimum requirements for this classification.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommend applicant consider entering into a development agreement pursuant of O.C.G.A. 36-71-13 for dedication of the following system improvements to mitigate traffic concerns: a) donation of right-of-way and easements on the south side of Cedarcrest Road, a minimum to accommodate the road widening project, Cobb County project number D4070.

Recommend a traffic study.

Recommend coordinating with Cobb County DOT on the Cedarcrest Road widening project. A median is shown at the location of the ingress/ egress.

Recommend a deceleration lane for the ingress on Cedarcrest Road.

Recommend curb, gutter, and sidewalk along the Cedarcrest Road frontage.

Recommend coordinating with Georgia DOT on the SR 92 widening project. The intersection of Acworth Dallas Road and SR 92 is being relocated which may affect the Acworth Dallas Road access.

Recommend curb, gutter, and sidewalk along the Acworth Dallas Road frontage.

Recommend curb and gutter along both sides and sidewalk along one side of proposed development roadway.

Recommend applicant be required to meet all Cobb County Development Standards and Ordinances related to project improvements.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

Z-72 THE RYLAND GROUP, LLC

- A. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will permit a use that is suitable in view of the use and development of adjacent and nearby properties. Nearby subdivisions are also zoned R-20 with similar densities.
- B. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will not have an adverse affect on the usability of adjacent or nearby property. Other properties in the area are zoned R-20 and R-30 for single-family residential subdivisions with similar densities.
- C. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will not result in a use which would cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools. This opinion can be supported by the departmental comments contained in this analysis.
- D. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal is not in conformity with the policy and intent of the *Cobb County Comprehensive Plan*, which delineates this property to be within the Rural Residential land use category, having densities of 0-1 units per acre. However, Staff believes the current land use recommendation of RR was established many years ago due to no public sewer; this project will connect to public sewer. Properties in the surrounding area have been rezoned with densities that fit in the Very Low Density Residential (VLDR) land use category (0-2 units per acre) and the Low Density Residential land use category (1-2.5 units per acre). The range of densities for residential subdivisions in the area include Bridgemont (zoned R-30 with an approximate density of 0.744 units per acre); Deer Springs (zoned R-20 at 1.29 units per acre); Woodstream, Unit IV (zoned R-20 at 1.41 units per acre); Picketts Glen Unit I (zoned R-20 at 1.57 units per acre); and The Governors Towne Club Unit A (zoned PRD at 1.89 units per acre). While the applicant's proposed development is over the RR range of 0-1 unit per acre, Staff believes the proposed development falls in the density range of most of the other subdivisions developed in this area.
- E. It is Staff's opinion that there are existing and changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property which give supporting grounds for approving the applicant's rezoning proposal.

Based on the above analysis, Staff recommends APPROVAL subject to the following conditions:

- Site plan received by the Zoning Division on October 3, 2013, with the District Commissioner approving minor modifications;
- Architecture to be approved by the District Commissioner;
- Water and Sewer Division comments and recommendations;
- Stormwater Management Division comments and recommendations;
- Department of Transportation comments and recommendations; and
- Owner/developer to enter into a Development Agreement pursuant to O.C.G.A. §36-71-13 for dedication of system improvements to mitigate traffic concerns.

The recommendations made by the Planning and Zoning Staff are only the opinions of the Planning and Zoning Staff and are by no means the final decision. The Cobb County Board of Commissioners makes the final decisions on all Rezoning and Land Use Permits at an advertised public hearing.

THIS

PAGE

INTENTIONALLY

LEFT

BLANK



Application #: Z-72 (2013)

PC Hearing Date: 12/03/2013

BOC Hearing Date: 12/17/2013

Summary of Intent for Rezoning*

*****			***************************************	
Part 1.	Reside	ential Rezoning Information (attach ac	dditional information if needed)	
	a)	Proposed unit square-footage(s):	Minimum 2,400 square feet	
	b)	Proposed building architecture:	Traditional and Cottage-Style; Exteriors of Brick, St Stacked Stone, Cedar-Shake Type, Hardi-Plank Type and	one
	c)	Proposed selling prices(s):	\$300,000 and greater Combinations thereof	
	d)	List all requested variances:	None known at this time.	
•••••		***************************************		
Part 2.	Non-re	esidential Rezoning Information (attac	ch additional information if needed)	
	a)	Proposed use(s): Not	t Applicable	
	b)	Proposed building architecture:	2011 2011	
	<u>c)</u>	Proposed hours/days of operation:	B COUNTY COUNTY	
	<u>d)</u>	List all requested variances:	2013 OCT -3 PM 5: 26 2013 OCT -3 PM 5: 26 ch additional information if needed)	
ran	. Otne	er Pertinent Information (List or attac	ch additional information if needed)	
Part 4	_	of the property included on the prop	osed site plan owned by the Local, State, or Federal Government?	
		early showing where these properties		
Part 5.	. Is this Notice	application a result of a Code Enforc of Violation and/or tickets to this form MOORE TNGKAM JOH	ement action? No X; Yes(If yes, attach a copy of the m). NOON STEELE, LLP	
			Date: October 3, 2013 e; Georgia Bar No. 519800	
	Applic	ant name (printed): Attorney	s for Applicant and Property Owners	

^{*}Applicant specifically reserves the right to amend any information set forth in this Summary of Intent for Rezoning, or any other part of the Application Application Application Application Application Application Process.