

APPLICANT:	Thomas Homes & Communities, LLC	PETITION NO:	Z-59
	678-898-3000	HEARING DATE (PC):	10-01-13
REPRESENTA	TIVE: J. Kevin Moore 770-429-1499	HEARING DATE (BOC):	10-15-13
	Moore Ingram Johnson & Steele, LLP	PRESENT ZONING:	R-20
TITLEHOLDE	R: The Estate of Elmo Lovinggood, Linda Pruett,		
	Executrix	PROPOSED ZONING:	RSL
PROPERTY L	OCATION: West side of East Piedmont Road,		
north of Fairpor	t Way, and at the terminus of Pinkney Drive	PROPOSED USE: Resider	ntial Senior Living
(2316 Pinkney I	Drive).		
ACCESS TO P	ROPERTY: East Piedmont Road	SIZE OF TRACT:	16.83 acres
		DISTRICT:	16
PHYSICAL CI	HARACTERISTICS TO SITE: Single-family house	LAND LOT(S):	669
and accessory st	ructures	PARCEL(S):	1
		TAXES: PAID X D	DUE
CONTIGUOUS	S ZONING/DEVELOPMENT	COMMISSION DISTRIC	Γ:_3

NORTH:R-20/Single-family houseSOUTH:PRD/Fairport SubdivisionEAST:R-20/Piedmont Forest SubdivisionWEST:R-20/Sandy Plains Estates Subdivision

OPPOSITION: NO. OPPOSED ____PETITION NO: ____SPOKESMAN _____

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION

APPROVED____MOTION BY_____

REJECTED____SECONDED____

HELD____CARRIED____

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS DECISION

APPROVED____MOTION BY_____


REJECTED____SECONDED____

HELD____CARRIED____

STIPULATIONS:



Z-59



APPLICANT: Tho	mas Homes & Communities, LLC	PETITION NO.:	Z-59
PRESENT ZONING:	R-20	PETITION FOR:	RSL
* * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * *
ZONING COMMENTS:	Staff Member Responsible:	Jason A. Campbell	
Land Use Plan Recomme	endation: Low Density Residential ((1-2.5 units per acre)	
Proposed Number of Unit	its: 56 Overall De	ensity: 3.32 Units	Acre
Staff estimate for allowal *Estimate could be higher or low	ble # of units: <u>29</u> Units* Incl wer based on engineered plans taking into acc		s/Lots operty, utilities, roadway:

natural features such as creeks, wetlands, etc., and other unforeseen circumstances.

Applicant is requesting the Residential Senior Living (RSL) zoning category for the development of a 57unit non-supportive senior living community. The minimum unit size will be 1,800 square feet and greater. The units will be traditional and will range in price from \$295,000 and up. The development will be a gated private road community. The proposed site plan, last revised August 30, 2013 and received by the Zoning Division on September 9, 2013, indicates a 40-foot perimeter and a 20-foot landscaped buffer along the perimeter of the development. The Zoning Ordinance requires a 30-foot perimeter setback. The proposed plan also includes a village green park in the center of the development and common/recreational areas on the southern portion of the property. Per the Zoning Ordinance, non-supportive developments are to have 15 feet between buildings.

<u>Cemetery Preservation</u>: There is no significant impact on the cemetery site listed in the Cobb County Cemetery Preservation Commission's Inventory Listing which is located in this, or adjacent land lot.

FIRE COMMENTS:

When projects contemplate less than 20 foot separation between units, emergency vehicle access can be accomplished by any of the following methods or combinations of methods:

- Parking spaces as required by zoning in addition to .50 guest parking per unit (i.e. 10 units = 5 guest parking spaces). Spaces shall be delineated as guest parking spaces and 24 ft. roadways do not account for parking on the street.
- Streets shall be designated as fire lanes, appropriately marked/identified in accordance with Cobb County Code

APPLICANT:	Thoma	as Homes & (Communities, LLC	PETITION NO.:	Z-59
PRESENT ZONI	NG:	R-20		PETITION FOR:	RSL
* * * * * * * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * *

PLANNING COMMENTS:

The applicant is requesting a rezoning from R-20 to RSL for purpose of residential senior living. The 16.83 acres site is located at the west side of East Piedmont Road, north of Fairport Way and at the terminus of Pinkney Drive.

Comprehensive Plan

The parcel is within a Low Density Residential (LDR) future land use category, with R-20 zoning designation. The purpose of the Low Density Residential (LDR) category is to provide for areas that are suitable for low density housing between one (1) and two and one-half (2.5) dwelling units per acre. This category presents a range of densities.

Master Plan/Corridor Study

Not applicable.

Historic Preservation

After consulting various county historic resources surveys, historic maps, archaeology surveys and Civil War trench location maps, staff finds that no known significant historic resources appear to be affected by this application. No further comment. No action by applicant requested at this time.

<u>Design Guidelines</u>

Is the parcel in an area with Design Guidelines? \Box Yes					
If yes, design guidelines area					
Does the current site plan comply with the design requirements?					

APPLICANT Thomas Homes & Communities	s LL(<u>C</u>		PE	TITION NO. <u>Z-059</u>
PRESENT ZONING R-20				PE	TITION FOR <u>RSL</u>
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * *	* * * * * * *	* * *	* * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
WATER COMMENTS: NOTE: Comments refl	lect or	nly what facilities	s were	in exi	istence at the time of this review.
Available at Development:		Yes			No
Fire Flow Test Required:		Yes			No
Size / Location of Existing Water Main(s): 8"1	DI / V	V side of East I	Piedm	ont	
Additional Comments: County master meter to a	serve	development			
Developer may be required to install/upgrade water mains, ba in the Plan Review Process.	sed or	n fire flow test resul	lts or Fir	re Dep	artment Code. This will be resolved
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * :	* * * * * * * *	* * *	: * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
SEWER COMMENTS: NOTE: Comments	reflect	t only what facili	ties we	ere in o	existence at the time of this review.
In Drainage Basin:	✓	Yes			No
At Development:	✓	Yes			No
Approximate Distance to Nearest Sewer: On	site,	traversing sou	thern	porti	on of parcel
Estimated Waste Generation (in G.P.D.): A	D F	9120		I	Peak= 22800
Treatment Plant:		Sutt	on		
Plant Capacity:	✓	Available		Not	Available
Line Capacity:	✓	Available		Not	Available
Proiected Plant Availability:	✓	0 - 5 vears		5 - 1	0 vears \Box over 10 vears
Drv Sewers Reauired:		Yes	\checkmark	No	
Off-site Easements Required:		Yes*	✓	No	*If off-site easements are required, Develope must submit easements to CCWS for
Flow Test Required:		Yes	\checkmark	No	review/approval as to form and stipulations prior to the execution of easements by the
Letter of Allocation issued:		Yes	\checkmark	No	property owners. All easement acquisitions are the responsibility of the Developer
Septic Tank Recommended by this Department	:	Yes	\checkmark	No	
Subject to Health Department Approval:		Yes	\checkmark	No	
Additional <u>Sewer in private streets to be pri</u> Comments:	<u>vate</u>				

Developer will be responsible for connecting to the existing County water and sewer systems, installing and/or upgrading all outfalls and water mains, obtaining on and/or offsite easements, dedication of on and/or offsite water and sewer to Cobb County, as may be required. Rezoning does not guarantee water/sewer availability/capacity unless so stated in writing by the Cobb County Water System. Permit issuances subject to continued treatment plant compliance with EPD discharge requirements.

APPLICANT:	Thomas Homes	& Com	nunities, LLC

PETITION NO.: <u>Z-59</u>

PRESENT ZONING: <u>R-20</u>

PETITION FOR: RSL

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMMENTS

on existing culvert under East Piedmont Road.

FLOOD HAZARD: YES NO POSSIBLY, NOT VERIFIED
 DRAINAGE BASIN: <u>Sewell Mill Creek</u> FLOOD HAZARD INFO: Zone A FEMA Designated 100 year Floodplain Flood. Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance DESIGNATED FLOOD HAZARD. Project subject to the Cobb County Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance Requirements. Dam Breach zone from (upstream) (onsite) lake - need to keep residential buildings out of hazard.
WETLANDS: YES NO POSSIBLY, NOT VERIFIED
Location: within and adjacent to stream channel
The Owner/Developer is responsible for obtaining any required wetland permits from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineer.
STREAMBANK BUFFER ZONE: 🛛 YES 🗌 NO 🗌 POSSIBLY, NOT VERIFIED
 Metropolitan River Protection Area (within 2000' of Chattahoochee River) ARC (review 35' undisturbed buffer each side of waterway). Chattahoochee River Corridor Tributary Area - County review (<u>undisturbed</u> buffer each side). Georgia Erosion-Sediment Control Law and County Ordinance - County Review/State Review. Georgia DNR Variance may be required to work in 25 foot streambank buffers. County Buffer Ordinance: 50', 75', 100' or 200' each side of creek channel.
DOWNSTREAM CONDITION
 Potential or Known drainage problems exist for developments downstream from this site. Stormwater discharges must be controlled not to exceed the capacity available in the downstream storm drainage system.
 Minimize runoff into public roads. Minimize the effect of concentrated stormwater discharges onto adjacent properties. Developer must secure any R.O.W required to receive concentrated discharges where none exist naturally Existing Lake Downstream Additional BMP's for erosion sediment controls will be required.
Lake Study needed to document sediment levels. Stormwater discharges through an established residential neighborhood downstream.
Project engineer must evaluate the impact of increased volume of runoff generated by the proposed project

PRESENT ZONING: <u>R-20</u>

PETITION FOR: <u>RSL</u>

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMMENTS – Continued

SPECIAL SITE CONDITIONS

- Provide comprehensive hydrology/stormwater controls to include development of out parcels.
- Submit all proposed site improvements to Plan Review.
- Any **spring activity** uncovered must be addressed by a qualified geotechnical engineer (PE).
- Structural fill _____ must be placed under the direction of a qualified registered Georgia geotechnical engineer (PE).
- Existing facility.
- Project must comply with the Water Quality requirements of the CWA-NPDES-NPS Permit and County Water Quality Ordinance.
- Water Quality/Quantity contributions of the existing lake/pond on site must be continued as baseline conditions into proposed project.
- Calculate and provide % impervious of project site.
- Revisit design; reduce pavement area to reduce runoff and pollution.

INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION

- No Stormwater controls shown
- Copy of survey is not current Additional comments may be forthcoming when current site conditions are exposed.
- ☐ No site improvements showing on exhibit.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

- 1. This site is located to the west of East Piedmont Road and is bounded by Fairport Subdivision to the south, Sandy Plains Estates to the west and a large estate-sized lot to the north. All but a small portion of the northwest corner of the parcel drains to the floodplain of a small tributary to Sewell Mill Creek which traverses the southern portion of the site. Slopes on the site are moderate at less than 15%.
- 2. The proposed pedestrian bridge crossing will require a no-rise certification to verify no adverse impact to flood elevations off the site.

Thomas fromes & commandes, Ele	APPLICANT:	Thomas Homes	& Communities.	, LLC	PETITION NO.:	Z-59
--------------------------------	-------------------	---------------------	----------------	-------	----------------------	------

PRESENT ZONING: <u>R-20</u>

PETITION FOR: <u>RSL</u>

TRANSPORTATION COMMENTS

The following comments and recommendations are based on field investigation and office review of the subject rezoning case:

ROADWAY	AVERAGE DAILY TRIPS	ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION	SPEED LIMIT	JURISDICTIONAL CONTROL	MIN. R.O.W. REQUIREMENTS
East Piedmont Road	19,500	Arterial	45 mph	Cobb County	100'

Based on 2010 traffic counting data taken by Cobb DOT (East Piedmont Road)

COMMENTS AND OBSERVATIONS

East Piedmont Road is classified as an arterial and according to the available information the existing right-of-way does meet the minimum requirements for this classification.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommend a deceleration lane on East Piedmont Road for the entrance.

Recommend no monument signs on the right-of-way.

Recommend if streets are private then roadways be constructed to the Cobb County Standard Specifications.

Recommend modifying pavement markings for left turn lane on East Piedmont Road.

Recommend applicant be required to meet all Cobb County Development Standards and Ordinances related to project improvements.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

Z-59 THOMAS HOMES & COMMUNITIES, LLC

- A. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will not permit a use that is suitable in view of the use and development of adjacent and nearby properties. Adjacent and nearby properties are developed as single-family residential subdivisions with lower densities than the applicant's proposal.
- B. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will have an adverse affect on the usability of adjacent or nearby property. Applicant's proposal for a non-supportive RSL development is not consistent with the densities and uses of other properties in this area. The character of the area is defined with single-family houses.
- C. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will result in a use which would cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools. This opinion can be supported by the departmental comments contained in this analysis.
- D. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal is not in conformity with the policy and intent of the *Cobb County Comprehensive Plan*, which delineates this property as Low Density Residential (LDR) for properties having a density range of 1-2.5 units per acre. Applicant's proposal is not within that range and other developments in the area have lower densities ranging from approximately 1.63 units per acre (Piedmont Chase, zoned R-15); 2.16 units per acre (Fairport, zoned PRD); and 3.014 units per acre (Autumn Lake, zoned PRD).
- E. It is Staff's opinion that there are existing and changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property which give supporting grounds for approving the applicant's rezoning proposal, but limiting the density to a maximum of 2.5 units per acre. Applicant's proposal does not meet the density range of the *Cobb County Comprehensive Plan* for the LDR category (1-2.5 units per acre). The proposed development of 3.32 units per acre is at a higher density than other developments in the area. Staff is also concerned that the proposed plan does not meet the 15 feet between buildings requirement of the RSL (Non-Supportive) section on the ordinance.

Based on the above analysis, Staff recommends <u>APPROVAL</u> subject to the following:

- Maximum of 2.5 units per acre;
- Detached units;
- Final site plan to be approved by the Board of Commissioners;
- Fire Department comments and recommendations;
- Water and Sewer Division comments and recommendations;
- Stormwater Management Division comments and recommendations; and
- Department of Transportation comments and recommendations.

The recommendations made by the Planning and Zoning Staff are only the opinions of the Planning and Zoning Staff and are by no means the final decision. The Cobb County Board of Commissioners makes the final decisions on all Rezoning and Land Use Permits at an advertised public hearing.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT **BLANK**

			Applic	ation No.	z- 59 Oct (2013)
		· ·	f Intent for Rezor	ing D	
Part 1.	Reside	ntial Rezoning Information (attach a	dditional information if needed)	JI U JI	UL 1 0 2013
	a)	-	Minimum 1,800 square feet,	and greaters	D COMMA DEV AGENCY
	b)	Proposed building architecture:	Traditional	0000-0	ONING DIVISION
	c)	Proposed selling prices(s):	\$295,000, and greater		
	d)	List all requested variances:	Waiver of side setbacks fi	om 15 feet to	
	6 f	eet between structures.			
Dout 2	Non	oridantia) Deparing Information (atta			
rart 2.	a)	esidential Rezoning Information (atta Proposed use(s): Not A			
	a)				
	b)	Proposed building architecture:			
	c)	Proposed hours/days of operation:			
	d)	List all requested variances:			
Part	3. Oth	er Pertinent Information (List or atta	ch additional information if needed)		
	None	at this time.			
Part 4	. Is any	of the property included on the pro	posed site plan owned by the Local, Sta	te, or Federal Govern	ament?
	(Please	e list all Right-of-Ways, Governmen	owned lots, County owned parcels and	d/or remnants, etc., a	nd attach a
	plat cl	early showing where these properties	are located). None known at t	his time.	
			Hone known at t		

*Applicant specifically reserves the right to amend any information set forth in the Summary of Intent, or any portion of the Application for Rezoning, at any time during the rezoning process.