Z-38 (2012) HERE ARE NO ARRAND OF MEREL CONTINUES OF RAME ALOIS ON THE SABLET MORPHY WETLAGE BASE ON HE US 150 AND WICKES SOMETHANDON WELLAGE ANOTHER WETLAGE WASHINGTON WITH WELLAGE SANGLINGS OF WEST AND WILLIAM STATE ON ANY 25 JOIL MARKE AND WE SERVED HE WASHINGTON WEST AND W DRIF AN HO APPLACET OF HOREL LACATECHAN OF LACATECOCAL LANGUAMES OF THE SARKET PROPERTY. DIMENTARIO OPER SHALL MET ALL STAFF AND COUNTY RECLARACIONS PRIOR TO ANY SIE LOCATED IN LL. 505
1810 DISTRICT, THE SECTION
CORD COUNTY, CA. REVISED ZOWNE SIT PLAN FOR URIZAR TRUCKING MILLIA SO, TANDECHAE SCHEEMING BRELEE YOMCENE ID MESOKNEY COBB CO. COMM. DEV. AGENCY ZONING DIVISION P.P. Gaskins AUG 2 2 2012 121.00 (PT)
121.00 pas CHOCKED LINES AND MADE \*\* 000 P.T. +0.000 S.T. PAL 06-W C MOOGS 08-791-PC 109 78-10-PC -707AL AREA 2.43 AC. TRACT THO MANAGE TOWN TRACT OWE THE WAY I REPORT THE STATE OF THE STATE OF THE STATE STATE AND THE WAY THE STATE OF PRINT OF CORR COUNTY, CORDIN , MARKON DE LA CORRECTION DE COLDER, COME CONTY, TOWNS WEST, THE MONEY OF COME CONTY, TOWN WAS, THE tate ater WENGER (0) BAT. Million St.
WENGER (0) WAY. 15 AT.
WENGER HIS WAY. (200 S.)
WANGER HIS WAY (200 S.)
WANGER HIS WAY (200 S.)
WANGER HIS WAY (200 S.) 2 28 1.752 PG 879 28 8278 PC 114 28 2291 PC 169 KTBACKS FROM: 45 FIT! SEC: 10 FIT!


John H. Moore 770-429-1499  Moore Ingram Johnson & Steele, LLP	HEARING DATE (PC): HEARING DATE (BOC): _	
	` / =	09-18-12
Moore Ingram Johnson & Steele, LLP		
	PRESENT ZONING:	R-20
te of Elzie Elton Goodwin a/k/a E. E. Goodwin		
	PROPOSED ZONING:	HI
ON: South side of Six Flags Parkway, east of		
	PROPOSED USE: Truck	Terminal and
lags Parkway).	Truck F	Repair Facility
TY: Six Flags Parkway	SIZE OF TRACT:	2.514 acres
	DISTRICT:	18
TERISTICS TO SITE: Existing single-family	LAND LOT(S):	505
ith accessory structures and one unimproved	PARCEL(S):	31, 32, 44
	TAXES: PAID X DU	Ű <b>E</b>
NG/DEVELOPMENT	COMMISSION DISTRICT	:_4
HI/Single-family house and HI/Auto parts and serv	vice business	
HI/Storage trailer yard		
R-20/R.A. and Loy A Cole, Jr. Subdivision		
HI/Industrial property/Storage yard		
	DN: South side of Six Flags Parkway, east of  lags Parkway).  TY: Six Flags Parkway  TERISTICS TO SITE: Existing single-family th accessory structures and one unimproved  NG/DEVELOPMENT  HI/Single-family house and HI/Auto parts and server HI/Storage trailer yard R-20/R.A. and Loy A Cole, Jr. Subdivision	PROPOSED ZONING:


APPROVED\_\_\_\_MOTION BY\_\_\_\_ REJECTED\_\_\_\_SECONDED\_\_\_\_ HELD\_\_\_\_CARRIED\_\_\_\_

# **BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS DECISION**

APPROVED\_\_\_\_MOTION BY\_\_\_\_ REJECTED\_\_\_\_SECONDED\_\_\_\_ HELD\_\_\_\_CARRIED\_\_\_\_

# **STIPULATIONS:**





Zoning Boundary

APPLICANT: Luis Uriz	ar	PETITION NO.:	Z-38
PRESENT ZONING: R	-20	PETITION FOR:	НІ
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * *
ZONING COMMENTS:	<b>Staff Member Responsible:</b>	Jason A. Campbell	
	l.		
Land Use Plan Recommendat	ion: Industrial Compatible		
Proposed Number of Building	s: 4 (Existing)Total Square F	Footage of Development	: 2,787
F.A.R.: <u>.025</u> Squar	e Footage/Acre: 1,108	-	
Parking Spaces Required: 1 p	per EmployeeParking Spaces 1	Provided: Asphalt I	<u>Drive</u>
Applicant is requesting the Heat truck terminal and repair facility proposed site plan indicates that Six Flags Parkway will be conv will remain as part of the busine eastern property line abutting the	y. The hours of operation will the house at 320 Six Flags Parerted to an office. Three accesses. The plan also indicates a 5	be 6 a.m. $-9$ p.m., Mondrkway will be removed ar sory sheds on 350 and 37	ay – Sunday. The nd the house on 370 O Six Flags Parkway
<u>Cemetery Preservation</u> : The Cemetery Preservation Commiss		•	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * *
PLANNING COMMENTS:			
The applicant is requesting a facility. The 2.514 acre site is lo			
Comprehensive Plan The parcel is within an Industr The purpose of the IC category distribution uses. Typical uses	is to provide for areas that car	support light industrial,	office/warehouse and
Master Plan/Corridor Study Not applicable.			
Historic Preservation After consulting various county trench location maps, staff find application. No further commen	ls that no known significant h	istoric resources appear	
<u>Design Guidelines</u> Is the parcel in an area with Des	sign Guidelines?   Yes	■ No	
If yes, design guidelines area		_	
Does the current site plan comp  ☐ Yes ☐ No ■ Not	ly with the design requirements applicable	s?	

APPLICANI: Luis Urizar	PETITION NO.:	Z-38
PRESENT ZONING: R-20	PETITION FOR:	НІ
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * *
FIRE COMMENTS:		

**ACCESS:** Fire apparatus access roads shall extend to within 150 feet of all portions of the facility or any portion of the exterior wall of the first floor (State Modifications IFC 503.1 2006 Edition).

All access roads shall meet the American Association of State and Highway Transportation Officials (AASHTO) design manual live load standard HS20 (75,000 lbs.) with an unobstructed width of not less than 20 feet, 25 foot inside radius, 50 foot outside turning radius and unobstructed vertical clearance of not less than 13 feet 6 inches.

Maximum slope of the access road in reference to the apparatus is 10% Front to Back and 5% Side to Side. Maximum grade of roadways leading to Fire Access roads refer to the Cobb County Development Standard Section 400: 14% for Non-Residential. Maximum angle of departure is 8.5%.

Dead-end access roads in excess of 150 feet shall be provided with a turn-around (IFC 503.2.5 2006 Edition). Cul-de-sac with or without an island: minimum 60-foot radius to outside curb, measured to inside of curb and a minimum lane width = 24 feet (Cobb County Development Standard 401.09). Single-Family cul-de-sac without island: 38-foot outside radius with island reverts to commercial dimensions. Hammerhead turn-around: total of 110 feet needed (45 feet + 20 feet wide roadway + 45 feet).

Aerial apparatus access shall be required for all structures over 30 feet in height measured from the lowest level of fire department access to the ceiling height of the highest occupied floor level. Aerial fire apparatus access roads shall be a minimum width of 24 feet maximum of 40 feet from the structure and be positioned parallel to one entire side of the building. No overhead utility and power lines shall be located within the aerial fire apparatus access. (Cobb County Development Standards 401.08.02.1)

**HYDRANT:** Show fire hydrants arranged to have a hydrant available for the distribution of hose to any portion of any building on premises at distances not exceeding 500 feet (driving distance). Hydrants shall be at least 40 feet from the building (steamer connection facing street) (NFPA 24-7.2.3). Fire hydrants shall be spaced not more than 500 feet apart. Hydrants shall not be connected to water lines on the positive side (discharge side) of a fire pump. Minimum 8" supply lines to all hydrants.

# APPLICANT <u>Luis Urizar</u>

Comments:

# PRESENT ZONING R-20

# PETITION NO. Z-038 PETITION FOR HI

**WATER COMMENTS:** | NOTE: Comments reflect only what facilities were in existence at the time of this review. Available at Development: Yes No Fire Flow Test Required: Yes No Size / Location of Existing Water Main(s): 6" DI / S side of Six Flags Parkway Additional Comments: Developer may be required to install/upgrade water mains, based on fire flow test results or Fire Department Code. This will be resolved in the Plan Review Process. **SEWER COMMENTS:** NOTE: Comments reflect only what facilities were in existence at the time of this review. In Drainage Basin: ✓ Yes No At Development: Yes No Approximate Distance to Nearest Sewer: Approx 1,300' S with easements Estimated Waste Generation (in G.P.D.): A D F **TBD** Peak= TBD S Cobb Treatment Plant: **✓** Available ☐ Not Available Plant Capacity: Line Capacity: ✓ Available ☐ Not Available ✓ 0 - 5 years 5 - 10 years over 10 years Projected Plant Availability: Dry Sewers Required: Yes ✓ No \*If off-site easements are required, Developer Off-site Easements Required: Yes\* □ No must submit easements to CCWS for review/approval as to form and stipulations Flow Test Required: Yes ✓ No prior to the execution of easements by the property owners. All easement acquisitions Letter of Allocation issued: Yes ✓ No are the responsibility of the Developer Septic Tank Recommended by this Department: Yes ✓ No ✓ No Subject to Health Department Approval: Yes Additional

Developer will be responsible for connecting to the existing County water and sewer systems, installing and/or upgrading all outfalls and water mains, obtaining on and/or offsite easements, dedication of on and/or offsite water and sewer to Cobb County, as may be required. Rezoning does not guarantee water/sewer availability/capacity unless so stated in writing by the Cobb County Water System. Permit issuances subject to continued treatment plant compliance with EPD discharge requirements.

PRESENT ZONING: R-20	PETITION FOR: <u>HI</u>
**********	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMMENTS	]
FLOOD HAZARD: YES NO POSSIBLY	Y, NOT VERIFIED
DRAINAGE BASIN: Unnamed Trib to Chattahooche	FLOOD HAZARD. evention Ordinance Requirements.
WETLANDS: ☐ YES ☐ NO ☒ POSSIBLY, NO	OT VERIFIED
Location: _Within or adjacent to on-site stream.	
□ The Owner/Developer is responsible for obtaining an of Engineer.	y required wetland permits from the U.S. Army Corps
STREAMBANK BUFFER ZONE:   ✓ YES ☐ NO	☐ POSSIBLY, NOT VERIFIED
<ul> <li>Metropolitan River Protection Area (within 2000' of buffer each side of waterway).</li> <li>Chattahoochee River Corridor Tributary Area - County Georgia Erosion-Sediment Control Law and County Georgia DNR Variance may be required to work in 25 County Buffer Ordinance: 50', 75', 100' or 200' each</li> </ul>	ty review ( <u>undisturbed</u> buffer each side).  Ordinance - County Review/State Review.  5 foot streambank buffers.
DOWNSTREAM CONDITION	
<ul> <li>□ Potential or Known drainage problems exist for devel</li> <li>□ Stormwater discharges must be controlled not to ex drainage system.</li> <li>□ Minimize runoff into public roads.</li> <li>□ Minimize the effect of concentrated stormwater discharges</li> </ul>	ceed the capacity available in the downstream storm
Developer must secure any R.O.W required to receive Existing Lake Downstream  Additional BMP's for erosion sediment controls will be	e concentrated discharges where none exist naturally
<ul> <li>Lake Study needed to document sediment levels.</li> <li>Stormwater discharges through an established residen</li> <li>Project engineer must evaluate the impact of increase on receiving stream.</li> </ul>	tial neighborhood downstream. ed volume of runoff generated by the proposed project

APPLICANT: <u>Luis Urizar</u>

PETITION NO.: <u>Z-38</u>

APPLICANT: <u>Luis Urizar</u>	<b>PETITION NO.: <u>Z-38</u></b>
PRESENT ZONING: <u>R-20</u>	PETITION FOR: HI
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMM	IENTS – Continued
SPECIAL SITE CONDITIONS	
engineer (PE).  Existing facility.  Project must comply with the Water Quality requ Water Quality Ordinance.	by a qualified geotechnical engineer (PE).  direction of a qualified registered Georgia geotechnical  direments of the CWA-NPDES-NPS Permit and County  sting lake/pond on site must be continued as baseline
INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION	
<ul> <li>No Stormwater controls shown</li> <li>Copy of survey is not current − Additional comme exposed.</li> <li>No site improvements showing on exhibit.</li> </ul> ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	ents may be forthcoming when current site conditions are

1. A commercial truck repair facility is considered a stormwater quality "hot-spot" due to the potential for discharge of contaminated runoff. The installation of an oil/water separation device will be required to treat runoff from this site. The development of a written stormwater pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) will also be required for the site.

A	PΕ	P	J	C	A	N	T	<b>`:</b>	L	ui	iz	J	Jr	<u> 17</u>	za	r																				Pl	E'.	ΓΙ	T	I	N	N I	V	O.	.:	7	<u>Z-</u> :	<u> 38</u>	}				
ΡI	RF	ES	SE	CN	IJ	[ ]	Z	0	N.	I	٧(	G	:_		ŀ	₹-	2(	<u>)                                    </u>																		Pl	ET	ΓI	T	IC	)[	N I	F(	Ol	R:	<u>.</u>	H						
*	* *	k :	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	<b>;</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	* :	* *	k 3	ŀ
	C		)I	BI	3	C	o	U	N	Τ	'Y	7]	D	E	P	A	R'	 T!	M	E	N	Г	O	F	T	R	RA	N	S	P	)	R'	ΓΑ	\]	ΓI	O	N	R	E	C	0	$\mathbf{M}$	IN	AII	Eľ	NI	)/	\]		10	NS	,	

The following comments and recommendations are based on field investigation and office review of the subject rezoning case:

ROADWAY	AVERAGE DAILY TRIPS	ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION	SPEED LIMIT	JURISDICTIONAL CONTROL	MIN. R.O.W. REQUIREMENTS
Six Flags Parkway	3,300	Major Collector	40 mph	Cobb County	80'

Based on 2011 traffic counting data taken by Cobb County DOT (Six Flags Parkway)

## **COMMENTS AND OBSERVATIONS**

Six Flags Parkway is classified as a major collector and according to the available information the existing right-of-way does not meet the minimum requirements for this classification.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommend applicant consider entering into a development agreement pursuant of O.C.G.A. 36-71-13 for dedication of the following system improvements to mitigate traffic concerns: a) donation of right-of-way on the south side of Six Flags Parkway, a minimum of 40' from the roadway centerline.

Recommend one access to Six Flags Parkway.

Recommend applicant verify that minimum intersection sight distance is available and if it is not, implement remedial measures, subject to the Department's approval, to achieve the minimum requirement.

Recommend curb, gutter, and sidewalk along Six Flags Parkway frontage.

Recommend a deceleration lane on Six Flags Parkway for the entrance.

Recommend applicant be required to meet all Cobb County Development Standards and Ordinances related to project improvements.

# STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

### Z-38 LUIS URIZAR

- A. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will permit a use that is suitable in view of the use and development of adjacent and nearby properties. Properties in the area are similarly zoned for industrial uses.
- B. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will not have an adverse affect on the usability of adjacent or nearby property. The subject property abuts HI properties to the west and south and many other properties in the area are zoned HI with existing industrial uses.
- C. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will not result in a use which would cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools. This opinion can be supported by the departmental comments contained in this analysis.
- D. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal is not in conformity with the policy and intent of the *Cobb County Comprehensive Plan*, which delineates this property to be within the Industrial Compatible land use category. Per the *Cobb County Zoning Ordinance*, the HI zoning category should be for properties delineated as Industrial on the *Cobb County Comprehensive Plan*. The Light Industrial (LI) zoning category is for properties delineated as Industrial Compatible.
- E. It is Staff's opinion that there are existing and changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property which give supporting grounds for deleting the applicant's rezoning proposal to the LI category. Truck terminals and truck repair businesses are allowed as permitted uses under LI.

Based on the above analysis, Staff recommends DELETING the request to LI subject to the following conditions:

- Site plan received by the Zoning Division on August 22, 2012, with the District Commissioner approving minor modifications;
- Water and Sewer comments and recommendations:
- Stormwater Management comments and recommendations:
- DOT comments and recommendations;
- Owner/developer to enter into a Development Agreement pursuant to O.C.G.A. §36-71-13 for dedication of system improvements to mitigate traffic concerns.

The recommendations made by the Planning and Zoning Staff are only the opinions of the Planning and Zoning Staff and are by no means the final decision. The Cobb County Board of Commissioners makes the final decisions on all Rezoning and Land Use Permits at an advertised public hearing.

# THIS

**PAGE** 

INTENTIONALLY

LEFT

BLANK

Application No. z- 38
Sept. (2012)

	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	t for Rezoning
	Proposed unit square feeters(s):	1 101
a)	Proposed unit square-footage(s):	GOOD CO 000 5 20
b)	Proposed building architecture:	ZONING DIEV. ACC
c) d)	Proposed selling prices(s):  List all requested variances:	
<u></u>	List air requested variances.	
_		
Non-	residential Rezoning Information (attach additional in	nformation if needed)
a)		Truck Repair Facility
(10150)	200 0100 - 04470 0100 040470 05000	
b)	Proposed building architecture: Metal and	Concrete
energe Telle	netal una	ooner e ce
c)	Proposed hours/days of operation: 6:00 a.m	9:00 p.m.
	Monday -	<del></del>
d)	List all requested variances: None kno	own at this time
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3. Otl	ther Pertinent Information (List or attach additional in	nformation if needed)
3. Otl	ther Pertinent Information (List or attach additional in	nformation if needed)
3. Otl	ther Pertinent Information (List or attach additional in	nformation if needed)
3. Oth	ther Pertinent Information (List or attach additional in	nformation if needed)
3. Oth	ther Pertinent Information (List or attach additional in	nformation if needed)
3. Otl		nformation if needed)
Is an		owned by the Local, State, or Federal Govern

<sup>\*</sup>Applicant specifically reserves the right to amend any information set forth herein, or within the Application for Rezoning, at any time during the rezoning process.

**ATTACHMENT TO APPLICATION FOR REZONING** 

**IMPACT ANALYSIS STATEMENT** 

Application No.: Hearing Dates: Z-38 (2012)

September 6, 2012 and co

September 18, 2012

Applicant:

Luis Urizar

Titleholder: The Estate of Elzie Elton Goodwin

(a/k/a E. E. Goodwin)

Analysis of impact of the proposed rezoning with respect to the following:

- (a) This Application for Rezoning requests rezoning of three assembled parcels totaling approximately 2.514 acres located on the southeasterly side of Six Flags Parkway (having addresses of 320, 350, and 370 Six Flags Parkway) (hereinafter "Property" or "Subject Property") from the existing R-20 zoning category to the Heavy Industrial ("HI") zoning category. Applicant seeks rezoning of the Subject Property to the HI category for a truck terminal and truck repair facility. The requested category of HI will permit a use that is more suitable in view of the use and development of adjacent and nearby properties. Adjacent properties are developed and used for heavy industrial purposes; as well as nearby properties are rezoned to the Light Industrial, General Commercial, and Future Commercial categories.
- (b) This zoning proposal should have no adverse effect on the existing use or usability of adjacent or nearby property. If approved and developed according to the request, the adjacent and nearby property owners should benefit in higher land values.
- (c) The Subject Property as presently zoned does not have a reasonable economic use. The proposed zoning will allow for a higher and better use of the Subject Property.
- (d) This zoning proposal will not cause excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools. Commercial development has almost no effect on schools, minimal effect on utilities and transportation facilities. The widened and improved roadways in the area will ease any increased traffic without burdensome effects.
- (e) The zoning proposal is in conformity with the Land Use Map of Cobb County, Georgia, as well as in conformity with the policy and intent of the Land Use Plan.

(f) This zoning proposal is consistent with the current conditions affecting the development of this Property. Improved roadways and proximity to interstates support the proposed use of the Subject Property. The use of the Subject Property as residential is no longer feasible in light of the rezoning and use of nearby and adjoining tracts for industrial and commercial purposes. Thus, the rezoning proposed in the pending Application for Rezoning would allow for a more suitable and economic use without detrimental impact upon the surrounding area.