

THIS

PAGE

INTENTIONALLY

LEFT

BLANK

APPLICANT: Ban	k of America, N.A.	PETITION NO:	Z-39
972	-868-5437	HEARING DATE (PC):	09-06-12
REPRESENTATIV	E: J. Kevin Moore 770-429-1499	HEARING DATE (BOC): _	09-18-12
	Moore Ingram Johnson & Steele, LLP	PRESENT ZONING: RM-1	2, R-20, NS, CR(
TITLEHOLDER: <u> </u>	Bank of America, N.A., as trustee for the registered holders		
of First Union Nation I	Bank-Chase Manhattan Bank Commercial Mortgage Trust	PROPOSED ZONING:	RM-12
PROPERTY LOCA	ATION: On the west side of Atlanta Road, north of		
Daniell Drive		PROPOSED USE: Multi-Fa	amily Residentia
(1940 Atlanta Road)			
ACCESS TO PROI	PERTY: Atlanta Road	SIZE OF TRACT:	9.816 acres
		DISTRICT:	17
PHYSICAL CHAR	ACTERISTICS TO SITE: Existing seven (7)	LAND LOT(S):	421, 422, 444
building apartment c	omplex	PARCEL(S):	2
		TAXES: PAID X DU	
CONTIGUOUS ZO	ONING/DEVELOPMENT	COMMISSION DISTRICTS	:_4
NORTH:	CRC/LI/ vacant		
SOUTH:	R-20/ Green Acres Heights subdivision		
EAST:	HI/ Lockheed Martin		
WEST:	R-20/ Green Acres Subdivision		
WES1:	K-20/ Green Acres Subdivision		
OPPOSITION: NO	O. OPPOSEDPETITION NO:SPOKESM	IAN	

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION

APPROVED____MOTION BY____

REJECTED____SECONDED____

HELD____CARRIED____

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS DECISION


APPROVED____MOTION BY____

REJECTED____SECONDED____

HELD____CARRIED____

STIPULATIONS:





APPLICANT: Bank of A	America, N.A.	PETITION NO.:	Z-39
PRESENT ZONING:	RM-12, R-20, NS, CRC	PETITION FOR:	RM-12
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * *
ZONING COMMENTS:	Staff Member Responsible:	Terry Martin, MPA	
Land Use Plan Recommenda	ation: CAC Community Activity	Center and IC Industria	l Compatible
Proposed Number of Units:	Overall D	ensity:Unit	s/Acre
Present Zoning Would Allow	v: Units Increase of	of: Un	its/Lots
commercial district, and the R-20 zoning classification to mirror is approved "site plan specific" to a existing buildings' footprints (see serve to waive the otherwise requirements of single-family district properties to family homes to the south by an	oning from the RM-12 residential monosingle-family residential district to currently existing use. Also, the approximate apparent existing encrease submitted site plan). Too, the site uired 25 ft. landscaped buffer where to the south and west. It appears that undeveloped parcel that currently seconsidered as a perpetual buffer for the south and the considered as a perpetual buffer for the south and the considered as a perpetual buffer for the south and the considered as a perpetual buffer for the south and the considered as a perpetual buffer for the south and the considered as a perpetual buffer for the	the RM-12 district to consplicant has requested that to oachments into the require plan specific request, if conthe property abuts the most the property is separated erves the purpose as a buffer	solidate the property's he rezoning be d setbacks by the nsidered favorably, will be restrictive R-20 from those single but is not owned by
Cemetery Preservation: No ********* PLANNING COMMENTS	******	* * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * *
11 1 0	rezoning from RM-12 to RM-12 ve is located at the west side of Atl		•
The purpose of the IC category	rial Compatible (IC) future land to y is to provide for areas that can so include professional business page	support light industrial, o	office/warehouse and
Master Plan/Corridor Study Not applicable.			
trench location maps, staff fine	ty historic resources surveys, hist ds that no known significant histonent. No action by applicant requ	oric resources appear to	
Design Guidelines Is the parcel in an area with D	esign Guidelines? Yes	■ No	
If yes, design guidelines area		_	
	nply with the design requirements ot applicable	3?	

APPLICANT:	Bank of A	merica, N.A.	PETITION NO.:	Z-39
PRESENT ZONI	NG:	RM-12, R-20, NS, CRC	PETITION FOR:	RM-12
* * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * *
SCHOOL COM	MENTS:			
				Number of
			Capacity	Portable
Name of School		Enrollment	Status	Classrooms
Nickajack		931	Over	
Elementary Campbell		985	Under	
Middle Campbell		2,280	Under	
High *School attendan	ce zones are	subject to revision at an	y time.	
		•	s currently severely over-crowd nt at Nickajack Elementary Sch	
* * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * *

FIRE COMMENTS:

After analyzing the information presented for a Preliminary Review, the Cobb County Fire Marshal's Office is confident that all other items can be addressed during the Plan Review Stage.

APPLICANT Bank of America, N.A.

PRESENT ZONING RM-12, R-20, NS, CRC

PETITION NO. $\underline{Z-039}$

PETITION FOR RM-12

WATER COMMENTS: NOTE: Comments refl	ect o	nly what facilities	were	in exi	stence at the time of this review.
Available at Development:	✓	Yes			No
Fire Flow Test Required:		Yes		✓	No
Size / Location of Existing Water Main(s): 16"	DI /	W side of Atlan	ta Ro	ad	
Additional Comments: <u>Existing water customer</u>					
Developer may be required to install/upgrade water mains, ba in the Plan Review Process.	sed o	n fire flow test results	s or Fir	e Depa	artment Code. This will be resolved
**********	* *	* * * * * * * *	* * *	* * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * *
SEWER COMMENTS: NOTE: Comments in	reflec	et only what faciliti	ies we	re in e	existence at the time of this review.
In Drainage Basin:	✓	Yes			No
At Development:	✓	Yes			No
Approximate Distance to Nearest Sewer: At s	site				
Estimated Waste Generation (in G.P.D.): A	D F	+0		P	Peak= +0
Treatment Plant:		S Col	bb		
Plant Capacity:	✓	Available		Not .	Available
Line Capacity:	~	Available		Not .	Available
Proiected Plant Availability:	✓	0 - 5 vears		5 - 1	0 years \Box over 10 years
Drv Sewers Required:		Yes	✓	No	
Off-site Easements Required:		Yes*	✓	No	*If off-site easements are required, Develop must submit easements to CCWS for
Flow Test Required:		Yes	✓	No	review/approval as to form and stipulations prior to the execution of easements by the
Letter of Allocation issued:		Yes	✓	No	property owners. All easement acquisitions are the responsibility of the Developer
Septic Tank Recommended by this Department:	: 🗆	Yes	✓	No	
Subject to Health Department Approval:		Yes	✓	No	
Additional <u>Existing sewer customer</u> Comments:					

Developer will be responsible for connecting to the existing County water and sewer systems, installing and/or upgrading all outfalls and water mains, obtaining on and/or offsite easements, dedication of on and/or offsite water and sewer to Cobb County, as may be required. Rezoning does not guarantee water/sewer availability/capacity unless so stated in writing by the Cobb County Water System. Permit issuances subject to continued treatment plant compliance with EPD discharge requirements. APPLICANT: Bank of America, NA PETITION NO.: Z-39

PRESENT ZONING: RM-12, R-20, NS, CRC PETITION FOR: RM-12

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMMENTS

No site work is proposed. No comments.

APPLICANT: Bank of America, N.A.	PETITION NO.: Z-39
PRESENT ZONING: RM-12, R-20, NS, CRC	PETITION FOR: RM-12
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	******
COBB COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTAT	ION RECOMMENDATIONS

The following comments and recommendations are based on field investigation and office review of the subject rezoning case:

ROADWAY	AVERAGE DAILY TRIPS	ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION	SPEED LIMIT	JURISDICTIONAL CONTROL	MIN. R.O.W. REQUIREMENTS
Atlanta Road	16,100	Arterial	45 mph	Cobb County	100'

Based on 2011 traffic counting data taken by Cobb County DOT (Atlanta Road)

COMMENTS AND OBSERVATIONS

Atlanta Road is classified as an arterial and according to the available information the existing right-of-way does meet the minimum requirements for this classification.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommend applicant be required to meet all Cobb County Development Standards and Ordinances related to project improvements.

THIS

PAGE

INTENTIONALLY

LEFT

BLANK

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

Z-39 BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.

- A. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will permit a use that is suitable in view of the use and development of adjacent and nearby properties. The property has been utilized in its current multifamily residential form for many years and may be viewed as a means of transitioning from those more intense commercial uses that exist along Atlanta Road to the single family homes immediately to the west of the property.
- B. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will not have an adverse affect on the usability of adjacent or nearby property. The request is to simply consolidate the zoning of the property to reflect the use that has existed for many years.
- C. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will not result in a use which would cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools. This opinion can be supported by the departmental comments contained in this analysis.
- D. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal is in conformity with the policy and intent of the *Cobb County Comprehensive Plan*. While the property is located within both a CAC community activity center future land use area along Atlanta Road and an IC industrial compatible area immediately behind this to the west, the use and those adjacent have been established for many years. While the CAC category supports the properties existing use as higher density residential as a transition between the adjacent higher intensity uses and adjacent residential uses, it may not follow the intents of the IC category. However, as the area is quite established in its existing uses, this may not be reason to consider the current request unfavorably but a time to consider the appropriateness of the existing IC category that exists here between Atlanta Road and the adjacent subdivisions of Green Acres and Green Acres Heights.
- E. It is Staff's opinion that there are existing and changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property which give supporting grounds for approving the applicant's rezoning proposal. The use is one that has existed for many years and the current request does not propose any changes to this use but serves as a means to consolidate the property's zoning classification to more closely reflect its established use. The request, if considered favorably, should be approved site plan specific to accommodate existing encroachments by the buildings into the required setbacks as well as to excuse the lack of a 25 ft. landscape buffer otherwise required by Code where the property abuts more restrictive residential categories to the south and west. Also, the existing use supports the *Cobb County Comprehensive Plan* in serving as a transitional use within the CAC along Atlanta Road but may not adhere to the incongruent IC category currently existing within this area.

Based on the above analysis, Staff recommends **APPROVAL** subject to the following conditions:

- Site plan received by Zoning Division on July 5, 2012, with District Commissioner approving minor modifications;
- Fire Department comments and recommendations;
- Stormwater Management Division comments and recommendations;
- Water and Sewer Division comments and recommendations; and
- Department of Transportation comments and recommendations.

The recommendations made by the Planning and Zoning Staff are only the opinions of the Planning and Zoning Staff and are by no means the final decision. The Cobb County Board of Commissioners makes the final decisions on all Rezoning and Land Use Permits at an advertised public hearing.

THIS

PAGE

INTENTIONALLY

LEFT

BLANK

Application No. z- 39

Resid	ential Rezoning Information (attach ad	Iditional information if needed) 5.20
a)	Proposed unit square-footage(s):	Iditional information if needed) Not Applicable – Existing Not Applicable – Existing
b)	Proposed building architecture:	Not Applicable - Existing
c)	Proposed selling prices(s):	Not Applicable - Existing
d)	List all requested variances:	
2. Non-1	esidential Rezoning Information (attac	ch additional information if needed)
a)	Proposed works.	
a) b)	Proposed works.	
-	Proposed use(s):	
b)	Proposed use(s): Proposed building architecture:	
b) c) d)	Proposed use(s): Proposed building architecture: Proposed hours/days of operation: List all requested variances:	
b) c) d)	Proposed use(s): Proposed building architecture: Proposed hours/days of operation: List all requested variances: oner Pertinent Information (List or attack)	ch additional information if needed)
b) c) d) pro	Proposed use(s): Proposed building architecture: Proposed hours/days of operation: List all requested variances: ner Pertinent Information (List or attack operation) (List or atta	

^{*}Applicant specifically reserves the right to amend any information set forth herein, or in any part of the Application for Rezoning, at any time during the rezoning process.