


APPLICANT	Pollack Shores Development, LLC		PETITION NO:	Z-91
<b>PHONE#:</b> 4	04-835-1475 <b>EMAIL:</b> nrandall@p	ollackshores.com	<b>HEARING DATE (PC):</b>	10-04-16
REPRESENT	CATIVE: John H. Moore		HEARING DATE (BOC	C): <u>10-18-16</u>
<b>PHONE#:</b> 77	0-429-1499 <b>EMAIL:</b> jmoore@mij	s.com	PRESENT ZONING	O&I
TITLEHOLD	DER: Genuine Parts Company			
			PROPOSED ZONING:	RRC
PROPERTY	LOCATION: Located on the easter	ly side of Herodian		
Way, in between	een Circle 75 Parkway and Crescent I	Park Drive	PROPOSED USE: Mix	ed use developmen
ACCESS TO	PROPERTY: Herodian Way, Circ	cle 75 Parkway,	SIZE OF TRACT:	9.506 acres
and Crescen	t Park Drive		DISTRICT:	17
PHYSICAL O	CHARACTERISTICS TO SITE: _	Existing office	LAND LOT(S):	877, 917
building and u	undeveloped parcels		PARCEL(S):	3, 7, 129
			TAXES: PAID X	DUE
CONTICUOI	US ZONING/DEVELOPMENT		COMMISSION DISTRI	(CT: 2
SOUTH: EAST: WEST:	PVC/Mixed Use-Crescent Park PVC/Mixed Use-Crescent Park PVC/Towns at Breton Ridge	Residential (hdr) Southwest: Region Residential (hdr)	nal Activity Center (RAC) onal Activity Center (RAC) onal Activity Center (RAC)	C), High Density
OPPOSITION	N: NO. OPPOSEDPETITION	NO:SPOKESM	1AN	
	COMMISSION RECOMMENDAT	TION		
	MOTION BY			75
	SECONDED VOTE		PVC Chickery RR	кс
neld	VOIE	RM-12		
APPROVED_	COMMISSIONERS DECISION MOTION BYSECONDED			Q.
HELD	VOTE	O&I	SITE	
STIPULATIO	ONS:	Synyma		916 Nindy Ridge Privi

## Z-91-2016 GIS



<b>APPLICANT:</b> Pollack Shores Developme	nt, LLC PETITION NO.: Z-91
PRESENT ZONING: O&I	PETITION FOR: RRC
******	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
ZONING COMMENTS: Staff Mo	ember Responsible: Jason A. Campbell
Land Use Plan Recommendation: R	Legional Activity Center (RAC)
<b>Proposed Number of Buildings: </b> 3	Total Square Footage of Development:
F.A.R.: Square Footage/A	cre:
Parking Spaces Required: 893	Parking Spaces Provided: 732
Parkway and Herodian Way and the deve Way toward Crescent Park Drive. The de- story multi-family building with a parking The proposed plan will require the follow 1. Waiver of the required numbe 2. Waiver of the front setback from	•
	gnificant impact on the cemetery site listed in the Cobb County entory Listing which is located in this, or adjacent land lot.
**************************************	***********

NO COMMENTS: After analyzing the information presented for a Preliminary Review, the Cobb County Fire Marshal's Office is confident that all other items can be addressed during the Plan Review Stage.

APPLICANT: Pollack Shores Development, LLC	PETITION NO.: Z-91
PRESENT ZONING: O&I	PETITION FOR: RRC
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

### **SCHOOL COMMENTS:**

			Number of
		Capacity	Portable
Name of School	Enrollment	Status	Classrooms
Brumby Elem	1002	751	
Elementary East Cobb Middle	1230	1226	
Middle Wheeler High	2161	2187_	

### High

• School attendance zones are subject to revision at any time.

### **Additional Comments:**

Approval of this petition will not have a significant impact on the enrollment at these schools.

APPLICANT: Poll	ack Shores Development, LLC	PETIT	TION NO.:	Z-91
PRESENT ZONING	G: O&I	PETIT	TION FOR	: RRC
********* PLANNING COM	**************************************	*****	* * * * * *	* * * * * * *
	nesting a rezoning from O&I to RRC for that ated on the easterly side of Herodian Way			-
Is the application site	mental Agreement Zoning Amendment Note within one half (1/2) mile of a city bound of Marietta and Smyrna been notified?	•	■ Yes ■ Yes	□ No □ No / N/A
category, with R-30 a provide for areas that land uses in these are development. Office category. However, a residential developm in excess of four (4)	a Regional Activity Center (RAC) with an zoning designation. The purpose of the R t can support a high intensity of developments include high-rise office buildings, region edvelopments are considered the most an inixed-use developments that include retainents are also appropriate in this category, stories per structure. Because of the uniquenal be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.	egional Act ent which so onal malls a ppropriate d I may also b This shall ir	vity Center erves a regind varying evelopment be appropriated	r (RAC) category is to onal market. Typical densities of residential t in the Office land use ate. Mid or high rise residential development
Specific Area Policy There are no specific	Guidelines:  policy guidelines for this area in the Com	nrehensive	Plan	
There are no specific	policy guidelines for this area in the con	ipiciiciisive	1 1α11.	
Adjacent Future Lan				
Northeast:	Regional Activity Center (RAC), Office	` /		
Southeast:	Regional Activity Center (RAC), High I			
Southwest:	Regional Activity Center (RAC), High I			
Northwest:	Regional Activity Center (RAC), High I	Jensity Resi	idential (hd	r)
Master Plan/Corrido The property is locat	o <u>r Study</u> ed within the boundary of the Blueprint C	umberland l	Livable Cer	nters Initiative study.
trench location maps	nous county historic resources surveys, his s, staff finds that no known significant her comment. No action by applicant requ	istoric resou	irces appea	,
Design Guidelines Is the parcel in an are If yes, design guideli	ea with Design Guidelines?	■ No		
• • •	plan comply with the design requirements	s?		
The Opportunity Zor	n an Opportunity Zone?		_	le areas if two or more

APPLICANT: Pollack Shores Development, LLC PRESENT ZONING: O&I	PETITION NO.: Z-91 PETITION FOR: RRC
FRESENT ZUMING: <u>U&amp;I</u> ************************************	
PLANNING COMMENTS:	CONT.
Is the property within an Enterprise Zone?   The Enterprise Zone is an incentive that provides tax incentives for qualifying businesses locating or expanding within deinvestments.	
Is the property eligible for incentives through the Commercial and I Program? ☐ Yes ■ No The Commercial and Industrial Property Rehabilitation Program is a ad valorem property taxes for qualifying redevelopment in eligible a	an incentive that provides a reduction in
For more information on incentives, please call the Community Dev 770.528.2018 or find information online at	

PRESENT ZONING <u>O&amp;I</u> ************************************	ale ale ale ale ale ale	ale ale ale ale ale ale	ala ala ala ala		ΓΙΤΙΟΝ Ι	
						ne time of this review.
Available at Development:	<b>✓</b> Y	•			No	
Fire Flow Test Required:	<b>✓</b> Y	es			No	
Size / Location of Existing Water Main(s	s): 8" DI / S s	ide of Herod	lian Wa	ıy		
Additional Comments:						
Developer may be required to install/upgrade water main Review Process.			•			
**************************************						* * * * * * * * * * * * * * t the time of this review.
In Drainage Basin:	<b>✓</b>	Yes			No	
At Development:	<b>✓</b>	Yes			No	
Approximate Distance to Nearest Sew	er: Herodian	Way R/O/W	frontii	ng off	ice parcel	
Estimated Waste Generation (in G.P.D	o.): A D F=	+36,000		P	Peak= +9	00,000
Treatment Plant:		Sut	tton			
Plant Capacity:	<b>✓</b>	Available		Not	Available	
Line Capacity:	<b>✓</b>	Available		Not	Available	
Projected Plant Availability:	<b>✓</b>	0 - 5 years		5 - 1	0 years	□ over 10 years
Drv Sewers Required:		Yes	<b>~</b>	No		
Off-site Easements Required:		Yes*	<b>✓</b>	No		easements are required, Developed it easements to CCWS for
Flow Test Required:		Yes	<b>✓</b>	No	review/app	proval as to form and stipulations execution of easements by the
Letter of Allocation issued:		Yes	<b>✓</b>	No	property ov	wners. All easement acquisitions ponsibility of the Developer
Septic Tank Recommended by this De	partment:	Yes	<b>✓</b>	No		
Subject to Health Department Approva	al:	Yes	<b>✓</b>	No		
Additional Sewer flow estimate is	for additional 3	00 1&2 bedr	oom ar	artme	ents	

PETITION NO. Z-091

APPLICANT Pollack Shores Development, LLC

Comments:

Developer will be responsible for connecting to the existing County water and sewer systems, installing and/or upgrading all outfalls and water mains, obtaining on and/or offsite easements, dedication of on and/or offsite water and sewer to Cobb County, as may be required. Rezoning does not guarantee water/sewer availability/capacity unless so stated in writing by the Cobb County Water System. Permit issuances subject to continued treatment plant compliance with EPD discharge requirements.

<b>APPLICANT:</b>	Pollack Shores Development. LLC	<b>PETITION NO.:</b> <u><b>Z-91</b></u>
PRESENT ZO	NING: <u>O&amp;I</u>	PETITION FOR: RRC
* * * * * * * * *	***********	********
STORMW	ATER MANAGEMENT COMMENTS	
FLOOD HAZA	ARD: YES NO POSSIBLY, NO	OT VERIFIED
FEMA Desi Flood Dama Project subj	ASIN: Rottenwood Creek  ignated 100 year Floodplain Flood.  age Prevention Ordinance DESIGNATED FLO  eect to the Cobb County Flood Damage Prevent  h zone from (upstream) (onsite) lake - need to k	ion Ordinance Requirements.
WETLANDS:	-	-
Location:		
The Owner Corps of En	Developer is responsible for obtaining any reagineer.	quired wetland permits from the U.S. Army
STREAMBAN	NK BUFFER ZONE: ☐ YES ☒ NO ☐ F	POSSIBLY, NOT VERIFIED
undisturbed Chattahoocl Georgia Ero Georgia DN	In River Protection Area (within 2000' of buffer each side of waterway).  The River Corridor Tributary Area - County revolution-Sediment Control Law and County Ordinal R Variance may be required to work in 25 foot fer Ordinance: 50', 75', 100' or 200' each side	iew ( <u>undisturbed</u> buffer each side). ance - County Review/State Review. streambank buffers.
DOWNSTREA	M CONDITIONS	
Stormwater drainage sys	Known drainage problems exist for developmed discharges must be controlled not to exceed the stems.  unoff into public roads.	
Minimize th	ne effect of concentrated stormwater discharges must secure any R.O.W required to receive	•
naturally  Existing La	ke Downstream  BMP's for erosion sediment controls will be req	
Lake Study	needed to document sediment levels.	
Project eng	discharges through an established residential notineer must evaluate the impact of increased varieting downstream receiving systems included	olume of runoff generated by the proposed

APPLICANT: <u>Pollack Shores Development. LLC</u>	<b>PETITION NO.:</b> <u><b>Z-91</b></u>
PRESENT ZONING: <u>O&amp;I</u>	PETITION FOR: RRC
***********	*********
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMMENTS –	Continued
SPECIAL SITE CONDITIONS	
<ul> <li>□ Provide comprehensive hydrology/stormwater controls to in</li> <li>□ Submit all proposed site improvements to Plan Review.</li> <li>□ Any spring activity uncovered must be addressed by a qualification of a qualification (PE).</li> <li>□ Existing facility.</li> </ul>	lified geotechnical engineer (PE).
Project must comply with the Water Quality requireme County Water Quality Ordinance.	
Water Quality/Quantity contributions of the existing lake/conditions into proposed project.	pond on site must be continued as baseline
<ul><li>☐ Calculate and provide % impervious of project site.</li><li>☐ Revisit design; reduce pavement area to reduce runoff and provide % impervious of project site.</li></ul>	pollution.
INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION	
<ul> <li>No Stormwater controls shown</li> <li>Copy of survey is not current – Additional comments may are exposed.</li> <li>No site improvements showing on exhibit.</li> </ul>	be forthcoming when current site conditions

### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

1. This site is located at the southwest intersection of Circle 75 Parkway and Herodian Way. Approximately half of the site is occupied by the previous Genuine Parts Headquarters facility. The western half of the site is currently undeveloped. All but 1.3 acres of the undeveloped portion of the site drains through the Genuine Parts facility into the existing stormwater management pond. This pond may have some capacity to provide stormwater management for the new development, however it is anticipated that additional underground detention will be required to provide adequate stormwater control for the site.

<b>APPLICANT:</b> Pollack Shores Development, LLC	PETITION NO.: Z-91
PRESENT ZONING: O&I	PETITION FOR: RRC
*********	********
TRANSPORTATION COMMENTS:	

ROADWAY	AVERAGE DAILY TRIPS	ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION	SPEED LIMIT	JURISDICTIONAL CONTROL	MIN. R.O.W. REQUIREMENTS
Circle 75 Parkway	10,200	Arterial	35 mph	Cobb County	100'
Herodian Way	5,600	Major Collector	35 mph	Cobb County	80'

Based on 2016 traffic counting data taken by Cobb County DOT for Circle 75 Parkway. Based on 2016 traffic counting data taken by Cobb County DOT for Herodian Way.

### COMMENTS AND OBSERVATIONS

Circle 75 Parkway is classified as an arterial and according to the available information the existing right-of-way does not meet the minimum requirements for this classification.

Herodian Way is classified as a major collector and according to the available information the existing right-of-way does not meet the minimum requirements for this classification.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommend applicant consider entering into a development agreement pursuant to O.C.G.A. 36-71-13 for dedication of the following system improvements to mitigate traffic concerns: a) donation of right-of-way on the south side of Circle 75 Parkway, a minimum of 50' from the roadway centerline

Recommend applicant consider entering into a development agreement pursuant to O.C.G.A. 36-71-13 for dedication of the following system improvements to mitigate traffic concerns: a) donation of right-of-way on the east side of Herodian Way, a minimum of 40' from the roadway centerline

Recommend applicant be required to meet all Cobb County Development Standards and Ordinances related to project improvements.

Recommend deceleration lanes on Herodian Way for the entrance.

Recommend applicant coordinate with Cobb County DOT prior to development plan approval to ensure compatibility with the proposed signal project at the intersection of Circle 75 Parkway and Herodian Way.

### **STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS**

### **Z-91 POLLACK SHORES DEVELOPMENT, LLC**

- A. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will permit a use that is suitable in view of the use and development of adjacent and nearby properties. The area includes a mixture of townhomes, condominiums, apartments, retail, offices and SunTrust Park.
- B. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will not have an adverse affect on the usability of adjacent or nearby property. The existing office building will remain and be used for offices. The proposed residential use will be similar to other residential uses in the area.
- C. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will not result in a use which would cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools. This opinion can be supported by the departmental comments contained in this analysis.
- D. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal is in conformity with the policy and intent of the *Cobb County Comprehensive Plan*, which delineates this property as being in the Regional Activity Center (RAC) land use category. The proposed RRC zoning district is compatible with the RAC land use category. The proposed development is in keeping with the character of existing and newer developments in this area.
- E. It is Staff's opinion that there are existing and changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property which give supporting grounds for approving the applicant's rezoning proposal. The applicant's proposal is compatible with the requested RRC zoning and the RAC land use category. Staff believes the applicant's proposal would be suitable in view of the surrounding developments in this area.

Based on the above analysis, Staff recommends APPROVAL subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Site plan received by the Zoning Division on August 4, 2016, with the District Commissioner approving minor modifications;
- 2. Granting the variances mentioned in the Zoning Comments section;
- 3. Water and Sewer Division comments and recommendations;
- 4. Stormwater Management Division comments and recommendations;
- 5. Department of Transportation comments and recommendations; and
- 6. Owner/developer to enter into a Development Agreement pursuant to §36-71-13 for dedication of system improvements to mitigate traffic concerns.

The recommendations made by the Planning and Zoning Staff are only the opinions of the Planning and Zoning Staff and are by no means the final decision. The Cobb County Board of Commissioners makes the final decisions on all Rezoning and Land Use Permits at an advertised public hearing.

# Summary of Intent for Rezoning

b) Proposed building architecture: Traditional c) Proposed selling prices(s): d) List all requested variances: Front Setback to 25 feet; Side Setback to 25 feet.  Non-residential Rezoning Information (attach additional information if needed) a) Proposed use(s): Office b) Proposed building architecture: Traditional c) Proposed hours/days of operation: Standard Business Hours d) List all requested variances: Front Setback to 25 feet; Side Setback to 25 feet.	a)	Proposed unit square-footage(s):	
A List all requested variances:    Side Setback to 25 feet;	b)	Proposed building architecture:	Traditional
Non-residential Rezoning Information (attach additional information if needed)  a) Proposed use(s): Office  b) Proposed building architecture: Traditional  c) Proposed hours/days of operation: Standard Business Hours  d) List all requested variances: Front Setback to 25 feet;	c)	Proposed selling prices(s):	
Non-residential Rezoning Information (attach additional information if needed)  a) Proposed use(s): Office  b) Proposed building architecture: Traditional  c) Proposed hours/days of operation: Standard Business Hours  d) List all requested variances: Front Setback to 25 feet;	d)		
a) Proposed use(s): Office b) Proposed building architecture: Traditional c) Proposed hours/days of operation: Standard Business Hours d) List all requested variances: Front Setback to 25 feet;			Side Setback to 25 feet.
a) Proposed use(s): Office b) Proposed building architecture: Traditional c) Proposed hours/days of operation: Standard Business Hours d) List all requested variances: Front Setback to 25 feet;			
a) Proposed use(s): Office b) Proposed building architecture: Traditional c) Proposed hours/days of operation: Standard Business Hours d) List all requested variances: Front Setback to 25 feet;			
b) Proposed building architecture: Traditional c) Proposed hours/days of operation: Standard Business Hours d) List all requested variances: Front Setback to 25 feet;	Non-1	esidential Rezoning Information (attach	additional information if needed)
c) Proposed hours/days of operation: Standard Business Hours  d) List all requested variances: Front Setback to 25 feet;	a)	Proposed use(s):	Office
c) Proposed hours/days of operation: Standard Business Hours  d) List all requested variances: Front Setback to 25 feet;			
d) List all requested variances: Front Setback to 25 feet;	b)	Proposed building architecture:	Traditional
d) List all requested variances: Front Setback to 25 feet;			
FIGHT BELBACK to 25 feet;	c)	Proposed hours/days of operation:	Standard Business Hours
FIGHT BELBACK to 25 feet;		T ! _ 4 . U	
Side Setback to 25 feet.	a)	List all requested variances:	
			Side Setback to 25 feet.
3. Other Pertinent Information (List or attach additional information if needed)	3. Oth	ner Pertinent Information (List or attach	additional information if needed)
3. Other Pertinent Information (List or attach additional information if needed)	3. Oth	ner Pertinent Information (List or attack	additional information if needed)
3. Other Pertinent Information (List or attach additional information if needed)	3. Otl	ner Pertinent Information (List or attack	n additional information if needed)
3. Other Pertinent Information (List or attach additional information if needed)	3. Otl	ner Pertinent Information (List or attack	n additional information if needed)
3. Other Pertinent Information (List or attach additional information if needed)	3. Oth	ner Pertinent Information (List or attack	additional information if needed)
3. Other Pertinent Information (List or attach additional information if needed)	3. Otl	ner Pertinent Information (List or attack	n additional information if needed)
. Is any of the property included on the proposed site plan owned by the Local, State, or Federal Gover	Is ar	ny of the property included on the propo	sed site plan owned by the Local, State, or Federal Gover
3. Other Pertinent Information (List or attach additional information if needed)  4. Is any of the property included on the proposed site plan owned by the Local, State, or Federal Gover (Please list all Right-of-Ways, Government owned lots, County owned parcels and/or remnants, etc., applated clearly showing where these properties are located).	Is ar	ny of the property included on the propose list all Right-of-Ways, Government o	sed site plan owned by the Local, State, or Federal Gover

<sup>\*</sup>Applicant specifically reserves the right to amend any information set forth in the Summary of Intent to Rezone, or any other portion of the Application for Rezoning, at any time during the rezoning process.



www.dveildauignethdio.com

# POLLACK SHORES CRESCENT PARKWAY, ATLANTA, GA

VIEW