

APPLICANT: Duncan Land Investments	PETITION NO:	Z-63
678-591-7624	HEARING DATE (PC):	11-05-13
REPRESENTATIVE: Richard Duncan	HEARING DATE (BOC): _	11-19-13
678-591-7624	PRESENT ZONING:	R-20
TITLEHOLDER: Terry R. and Michael S. Palmer		
	PROPOSED ZONING:	R-15/OSC
PROPERTY LOCATION: North and south sides of Blackwell Road;		
southwest of Wenlok Trail and east of Carter Valley Drive	PROPOSED USE:	Subdivision
(1982 Blackwell Road).		
ACCESS TO PROPERTY: Blackwell Road	SIZE OF TRACT:	6.65 acres
	DISTRICT:	16
PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS TO SITE: Single-family house	LAND LOT(S):	308, 341
and wooded acreage	PARCEL(S):	5, 62, 63
	TAXES: PAID X DU	J <b>E</b>
CONTIGUOUS ZONING/DEVELOPMENT	COMMISSION DISTRICT	: 3
CONTIGUOUS ZONING/DE VEDOTNENT		

NORTH: R-15/Stocktons Chase Subdivision
SOUTH: R-15/Stocktons Mill Subdivision
EAST: R-15/Stocktons Mill Subdivision

**WEST:** R-20/Single-family houses

OPPOSITION: NO. OPPOSED\_\_\_PETITION NO:\_\_\_SPOKESMAN \_\_\_\_


### PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION


APPROVED \_\_\_\_MOTION BY \_\_\_\_ REJECTED \_\_\_SECONDED \_\_\_\_ HELD \_\_\_\_CARRIED \_\_\_\_

**BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS DECISION** 

APPROVED \_\_\_MOTION BY \_\_\_\_ REJECTED \_\_SECONDED \_\_\_ HELD \_\_\_CARRIED \_\_\_

**STIPULATIONS:** 





Present Zoning V			Decrease of: 4	Units/Lots
Proposed Number	r of Units:	7	Overall Density: 1.05	Units/Acre
Land Use Plan R	ecommendati	ion: Low Dens	sity Residential (1-2.5 units p	per acre)
ZONING COMN	TEN15:	Stall Member N	zesponsible: Jason A. Ca	шроен
ZONING COMA	MENTS.	Staff Member R	<b>Responsible:</b> Jason A. Ca	mnhall
* * * * * * * * * *	*** ***	* * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
PRESENT ZONI	NG: R-20		PETITION	<b>N FOR:</b> R-15/OSC
APPLICANT:	Duncan Lan	d Investments	PETITION	NO.: Z-63

Applicant is requesting the R-15/OSC category in order to develop a seven-lot single-family residential open space subdivision. The houses will be Craftsman style and the minimum house size will be 2,100 square feet. The houses will range in price from the \$400,000s to \$500,000s. The OSC requirement is 2 acres or 30% and 2.2 acres or 33% for bonus. Applicant's proposed site plan indicates 4.19 acres, or 63%, of open space.

**<u>Cemetery Preservation</u>**: No comment.

APPLICANT:	Duncan Land Investments	PETITION NO.:	Z-63
PRESENT ZONI	NG: R-20	PETITION FOR:	R-15/OSC
* * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * *	: * * * * * * *

### **SCHOOL COMMENTS:**

	_		<b>Number of</b>
		Capacity	Portable
Name of School	Enrollment	Status	Classrooms
Rocky Mount	578	Under	
Elementary Simpson	874	Over	
Middle Lassiter	1,980	Under	

### High

• School attendance zones are subject to revision at any time.

**Additional Comments:** Approval of this petition could seriously adversely affect the enrollment at Simpson Middle School, which is over capacity at this time.

### **FIRE COMMENTS:**

After analyzing the information presented for a Preliminary Review, the Cobb County Fire Marshal's Office is confident that all other items can be addressed during the Plan Review Stage.

APPLICANT: Duncan Land Investments	PETITION NO.:	Z-63
PRESENT ZONING: R-20	PETITION FOR:	R-15/OSC
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * *
PLANNING COMMENTS:		
The applicant is requesting a rezoning from R-20 to R-15/OS is located at the north and south sides of Blackwell Road; s Drive.		
Comprehensive Plan		
The parcel is within a Low Density Residential (LDR) designation. The purpose of the Low Density Residential suitable for low density housing between one (1) and two a category presents a range of densities.	(LDR) category is to provide	le for areas that are
Master Plan/Corridor Study		
Not applicable.		
Historic Preservation		
After consulting various county historic resources surveys, he trench location maps, staff finds that no known significant application. No further comment. No action by applicant re	historic resources appear to	•
<u>Design Guidelines</u>		
Is the parcel in an area with Design Guidelines? ☐ Yes	■ No	
If yes, design guidelines area		
Does the current site plan comply with the design requirement	nts?	

## **APPLICANT** Duncan Land Investments

**PRESENT ZONING** R-20

# PETITION NO. <u>Z-063</u> PETITION FOR R-15 OSC

WATER COMMENTS: NOTE: Comments re	eflect or	nly what facilities	were in	n exis	stence at the time of this review.
Available at Development:	<b>✓</b>	Yes			No
Fire Flow Test Required:	<b>✓</b>	Yes			No
Size / Location of Existing Water Main(s): 16	" DI /	S side of Black	well Ro	oad	
Additional Comments:					
Developer may be required to install/upgrade water mains, be in the Plan Review Process.	oased or	n fire flow test result	s or Fire	Depa	rtment Code. This will be resolved
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * *	* * * * * * * *	* * * *	* * *	******
SEWER COMMENTS: NOTE: Comments	s reflec	t only what facilit	ies were	e in e	xistence at the time of this review.
In Drainage Basin:	<b>✓</b>	Yes			No
At Development:	<b>✓</b>	Yes			No
Approximate Distance to Nearest Sewer: On	n site				
Estimated Waste Generation (in G.P.D.): A	DF	1120		P	eak= 2800
Treatment Plant:		Noor	ıday		
Plant Capacity:	<b>✓</b>	Available		Not A	Available
Line Capacity:	<b>✓</b>	Available		Not A	Available
Proiected Plant Availability:	<b>✓</b>	0 - 5 vears		5 - 10	0 vears $\Box$ over 10 vears
Drv Sewers Required:		Yes	<b>v</b>	No	
Off-site Easements Required:		Yes*	<b>✓</b> ]	No	*If off-site easements are required, Develope must submit easements to CCWS for
Flow Test Required:		Yes	<b>v</b>	No	review/approval as to form and stipulations prior to the execution of easements by the
Letter of Allocation issued:		Yes	<b>✓</b> ]	No	property owners. All easement acquisitions are the responsibility of the Developer
Septic Tank Recommended by this Departmen	nt: 🗆	Yes	<b>✓</b> ]	No	
Subject to Health Department Approval:		Yes	<b>✓</b> ]	No	
Additional 10' setback from the sewer ease Comments:	ement :	for permanent st	ructure	es is	required for lots 4 through 7

Developer will be responsible for connecting to the existing County water and sewer systems, installing and/or upgrading all outfalls and water mains, obtaining on and/or offsite easements, dedication of on and/or offsite water and sewer to Cobb County, as may be required. Rezoning does not guarantee water/sewer availability/capacity unless so stated in writing by the Cobb County Water System. Permit issuances subject to continued treatment plant compliance with EPD discharge requirements.

<b>APPLICANT:</b> <u>Duncan Land Investments</u>	PETITION NO.: <u>Z-63</u>
PRESENT ZONING: <u>R-20</u>	PETITION FOR: <u>R-15/OSC</u>
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*********
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMMENTS	]
FLOOD HAZARD: YES NO POSSIBL	Y, NOT VERIFIED
DRAINAGE BASIN: Rubes Creek FLOOD HAD FEMA Designated 100 year Floodplain Flood.  ☐ Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance DESIGNATEI ☐ Project subject to the Cobb County Flood Damage Properties Dam Breach zone from (upstream) (onsite) lake - needs	O FLOOD HAZARD. revention Ordinance Requirements.
WETLANDS: YES NO POSSIBLY, N	OT VERIFIED
Location:	
☐ The Owner/Developer is responsible for obtaining an of Engineer.	ny required wetland permits from the U.S. Army Corps
STREAMBANK BUFFER ZONE: X YES X NO	☐ POSSIBLY, NOT VERIFIED
<ul> <li>Metropolitan River Protection Area (within 2000' of buffer each side of waterway).</li> <li>Chattahoochee River Corridor Tributary Area - Courty</li> <li>Georgia Erosion-Sediment Control Law and County</li> <li>Georgia DNR Variance may be required to work in 2</li> <li>County Buffer Ordinance: 50', 75', 100' or 200' each</li> </ul>	Ordinance - County Review/State Review. 25 foot streambank buffers.
DOWNSTREAM CONDITION	
<ul> <li>□ Potential or Known drainage problems exist for deve</li> <li>□ Stormwater discharges must be controlled not to exdrainage system.</li> <li>□ Minimize runoff into public roads.</li> <li>□ Minimize the effect of concentrated stormwater discharges must secure any R.O.W required to receiv</li> <li>□ Existing Lake Downstream</li> <li>□ Additional BMP's for erosion sediment controls will</li> <li>□ Lake Study needed to document sediment levels.</li> <li>□ Stormwater discharges through an established residen</li> </ul>	narges onto adjacent properties. The concentrated discharges where none exist naturally be required.
	ed volume of runoff generated by the proposed project

APPLICANT: <u>Duncan Land Investments</u>	<b>PETITION NO.: <u>Z-63</u></b>		
PRESENT ZONING: <u>R-20</u> PETITION FOR: <u>R-1</u>			
*********	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMMENTS	- Continued		
SPECIAL SITE CONDITIONS			
<ul> <li>□ Provide comprehensive hydrology/stormwater controls to</li> <li>□ Submit all proposed site improvements to Plan Review.</li> <li>□ Any spring activity uncovered must be addressed by a qu</li> <li>□ Structural fill must be placed under the direction engineer (PE).</li> <li>□ Existing facility.</li> <li>□ Project must comply with the Water Quality requiremen Water Quality Ordinance.</li> <li>□ Water Quality/Quantity contributions of the existing la conditions into proposed project.</li> <li>□ Calculate and provide % impervious of project site.</li> <li>□ Revisit design; reduce pavement area to reduce runoff and</li> </ul>	alified geotechnical engineer (PE).  In of a qualified registered Georgia geotechnical  ts of the CWA-NPDES-NPS Permit and County  ke/pond on site must be continued as baseline		
<ul> <li>INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION</li> <li>☐ No Stormwater controls shown</li> <li>☐ Copy of survey is not current – Additional comments may exposed.</li> </ul>	y be forthcoming when current site conditions are		
☐ No site improvements showing on exhibit.			

### **ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**

- 1. The majority of this site is being preserved as green space, including the portion to the north of Blackwell Road as well the steeply sloped area to the south of the existing sanitary sewer easement and stream buffer. The site drains to the west through an adjacent residential parcel to the Rubes Creek floodplain.
- 2. A 20-foot drainage easement will likely be required at the rear of lots 2 & 3 to direct runoff to the proposed detention pond and limit offsite bypass.

APPLICANT: <u>Duncan I</u>	Land Investments	PETITION NO.: <u>Z-63</u>
PRESENT ZONING:	R-20	PETITION FOR: R-15/OSC
*****	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*****
TRANSPORTATIO	N COMMENTS	

The following comments and recommendations are based on field investigation and office review of the subject rezoning case:

ROADWAY	AVERAGE DAILY TRIPS	ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION	SPEED LIMIT	JURISDICTIONAL CONTROL	MIN. R.O.W. REQUIREMENTS
Blackwell Road	10,400	Arterial	35 mph	Cobb County	100'

Based on 2007 traffic counting data taken by Cobb DOT (Blackwell Road)

### COMMENTS AND OBSERVATIONS

Blackwell Road is classified as an arterial and according to the available information the existing right-of-way does not meet the minimum requirements for this classification.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommend applicant consider entering into a development agreement pursuant of O.C.G.A. 36-71-13 for dedication of the following system improvements to mitigate traffic concerns: a) donation of right-of-way on the south and north side of Blackwell Road, a minimum of 50' from the roadway centerline.

Recommend curb, gutter, and sidewalk along Blackwell Road frontage.

Recommend curb, gutter, and sidewalk along one side of the proposed development roadway.

Recommend a shared access for lots 6 and 7.

Recommend applicant be required to meet all Cobb County Development Standards and Ordinances related to project improvements.

# THIS

**PAGE** 

INTENTIONALLY

LEFT

BLANK

### STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

### **Z-63 DUNCAN LAND INVESTMENTS**

- A. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will permit a use that is suitable in view of the use and development of adjacent and nearby properties. Adjacent and nearby properties are similarly zoned and developed for single-family subdivisions.
- B. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will not have an adverse affect on the usability of adjacent or nearby property. Adjacent and nearby subdivisions are similarly zoned with slightly higher densities than this proposal.
- C. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will not result in a use which would cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools. This opinion can be supported by the departmental comments contained in this analysis.
- D. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal is in conformity with the policy and intent of the *Cobb County Comprehensive Plan*, which delineates this property to be within the Low Density (LDR) land use category, having densities ranging from 1-2.5 units per acre. Stocktons Mill, Unit 2, to the north is zoned R-15 and has a density of 2.11 units per acre. Stocktons Mill Subdivision to the east and south, is zoned R-15 with a density of approximately 1.99 units per acre. Stocktons Mill, Unit 4, southwest of the subject property, is zoned R-20 and has an approximate density of 1.85 units per acre. Applicant's proposal using the R-15/OSC designation is for 1.05 units per acre.
- E. It is Staff's opinion that there are existing and changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property which give supporting grounds for approving the applicant's rezoning proposal. Adjacent and nearby subdivision are zoned R-15 with slightly higher densities than applicant's proposed 1.05 units per acre.

Based on the above analysis, Staff recommends APPROVAL subject to the following conditions:

- Site plan to be approved by the Board of Commissioners;
- Planning Division Staff Analysis attached hereto;
- Water and Sewer Division comments and recommendations;
- Stormwater Management Division comments and recommendations;
- Department of Transportation comments and recommendations; and
- Owner/developer to enter into a Development Agreement pursuant to O.C.G.A. §36-71-13 for dedication of system improvements to mitigate traffic concerns.

The recommendations made by the Planning and Zoning Staff are only the opinions of the Planning and Zoning Staff and are by no means the final decision. The Cobb County Board of Commissioners makes the final decisions on all Rezoning and Land Use Permits at an advertised public hearing.

# THIS

**PAGE** 

INTENTIONALLY

LEFT

BLANK

### Planning Staff Analysis

### **Z-63**

Date: **September 27, 2013** Contact: Philip Westbrook

(770) 528-2014

Property Location: 1982 Blackwell Rd

**Current Zoning:** R-20

Land Lot/District: 341 / 16 Proposed Use: R-15 OSC

Total Area: 6.65 acres

Floodplain/Wetland Area/Cemetery: 0

Net Buildable Area: 6.65 acres Base Density Allowed: 2.1 upa

Base Density Allowed w/Bonus: 2.25 upa

**Proposed Lots:** 7 **Net Density:** 1.05 upa

**Future Land Use:** Low Density Residential (1 to 2.5 upa)

Open Space Requirement: 2 acres or 30%; for bonus 2.2 acres or 33%

Open Space Provided: 4.19 acres or 63%

Percentage of Open Space within Floodplain, Wetlands, & Lakes w: N/A

#### **Setbacks:**

Front: 0' Rear: 0'

Side: 10'/20' between units

#### **Comments:**

- 1. All open space must be on same tract of property.
- 2. Detention Pond facility must be outside of open space area and cannot be calculated as open space.
- 3. For exterior lots 2 and 3 the rear setback must meet or exceed that of the adjacent zoning which in this case is R-20. For exterior lot 7 the rear setback must meet or exceed that of the adjacent zoning which in this case is R-15.
- 4. For all lots setbacks should meet or exceed that of the underlying zoning.
- 5. For all lots contiguous to open space staff recommends including a deed during sale of homes so that future lot owners are aware that commonly owned open space exists adjacent to their property and cannot be built on.
- 6. As another form of privacy barrier staff recommends installing fence to the rear of lots that area adjacent to open space
- 7. Must have Cobb Department of Transportation approved lighting plan in if outdoor lighting (except individual residential lots) is proposed

Z-63 (2013) Planning Staff Analysis

- 8. Provide architectural renderings and description of the façade treatments that will be used on the proposed housing units
- 9. A Conservation Easement must be recorded in the mandatory subdivision covenants and with Cobb Superior Court, thereby protecting the "Open Space" from development in perpetuity as owned by the mandatory Home Owners Association. Conservation Easement application must be submitted to the Planning Division before final plat approval.



Application #: 2-63
PC Hearing Date: 11 /5/13
BOC Hearing Date: 11/19/12

# **Summary of Intent for Rezoning**

Part 1.		ntial Rezoning Information (attach additional information if needed)		
	a)	Proposed unit square-footage(s): 2/00		
	b)			
	c)	Proposed building architecture: Craftsman  Proposed selling prices(s): 400 + 500		
	d)	List all requested variances:		
Part 2.	Non-res	sidential Rezoning Information (attach additional information if needed)		
	a)	Proposed use(s):  Proposed building architecture:  Proposed hours/days of operation:  List all requested variances:  Pertinent Information (List or attach additional information if needed)		
		880	2013 SEP	1
	b)	Proposed building architecture:	SE	=
		YTN	I	
	c)	Proposed hours/days of operation:	5	Z:
		ING.	P	OFFICI
	d)	List all requested variances:	4:2	EX
Part	3. Othe	r Pertinent Information (List or attach additional information if needed)		
Part 4	Is any (Please	of the property included on the proposed site plan owned by the Local, State, or Federal Govern list all Right-of-Ways, Government owned lots, County owned parcels and/or remnants, etc., and early showing where these properties are located).		ch a
Part 5	Notice	application a result of a Code Enforcement action? No; Yes(If yes, attach a copy of the of Violation and/or tickets to this form).  Date:		